
Re: Request to Continue Appeal Hearing — Development Plan Modification of Corporate Ridge Filing No. 1, Lot 4 ("Project Taurus") (File DEPN-26-0039; Appeals APPL-26-0003, -0004, -0005, -0006, and -0007)

From Integrity Matters <integritymatterscos@gmail.com>

Date Wed 7/1/2026 11:57 AM

To Daniel Sexton <Daniel.Sexton@coloradosprings.gov>

Cc Deirdre Aden-Smith <deirdre@theequitygroup.net>; Kate Kent- Neighbor <katekChelseaGlen@proton.me>; Ron Graham Becker <rongrahambecker@yahoo.com>; Kat Gayle <Trout_65@yahoo.com>

CAUTION! - External Email. Malware is most commonly spread through unknown email attachments and links. DO NOT open attachments or click links from unknown senders or unexpected email!

Re: Request to Continue Appeal Hearing — Development Plan Modification of Corporate Ridge Filing No. 1, Lot 4 ("Project Taurus") (File DEPN-26-0039; Appeals APPL-26-0003, -0004, -0005, -0006, and -0007)

Via email only: Daniel Sexton, Planning Manager, please pass on to the Planning Commission Chair, Ms. Hensler

Dear Mr. Sexton and Ms. Hensler,

I write on behalf of appellants Katherine Kent and Ron Graham-Becker to respectfully request that the appeal hearing currently set for Thursday, July 23, 2026 at 9:00 a.m. be continued to a date during the week of August 12–14, 2026 — or, in the alternative, that it be heard at the Commission's regular meeting of August 12, 2026.

We acknowledge that July 23 falls within the thirty-to-sixty-day window of UDC §7.5.415.A.6.b. Our request is not that the date is impermissible, but that good cause supports a date later within that same permissible window. The lead appellants, Ms. Kent and Mr. Graham-Becker, have a previously scheduled conflict on and around July 23. Both hold proximity standing as owners/occupants within approximately 1,000 feet of the site, and both are essential to the appellants' presentation. Requiring the hearing to proceed on July 23 would deprive the appellants of the participation of the two individuals central to presenting their case.

The requested alternative imposes no burden on the Commission or the applicant. The August 12 regular meeting falls squarely within the §7.5.415.A.6.b window, so the continuance requires no special session and no departure from the Code's scheduling requirements. The appellants exercised their right to comment on the hearing date under §7.5.415.A.6.a in correspondence dated June 23, 2026, identifying this same August 12–14 window; this request simply renews that good-cause showing now that a firm date has been set.

No party is prejudiced by the brief continuance. Under §7.5.415.A.5, a perfected appeal operates as a stay of the underlying decision. Because the decision is stayed pending the hearing, a date two to three weeks later within the statutory window prejudices neither the applicant nor the City.

Finally, we note that the appellants in all five filings (APPL-26-0003 through -0007) independently requested this same August 12–14 window in their respective comments on the hearing date.

We respectfully request written confirmation of the rescheduled date at the Commission's earliest convenience.

Respectfully,

Katherine Gayle
Pro Bono Counsel for Appellants
Integrity Matters

--

Integrity is doing the right thing when no one is watching.



INTEGRITY MATTERS