

**ISSUES FOR DECISION
2025 RATE CASE HEARING
OCTOBER 22, 2024**

Electric Service:

1. Is an increase to the non-fuel revenues of approximately \$24.2 million for 2025, \$25.9 million for 2026, \$27.8 million for 2027, \$30.0 million for 2028, and \$32.0 million for 2029 appropriate based on the 2025 rate case test-year period?
2. Should rates and tariffs for the following Electric Service Rate Schedules be revised as proposed:
 - a. Residential Service;
 - b. Residential Service – Time-of-Day;
 - c. Commercial Service – Small;
 - d. Commercial Service – General;
 - e. Commercial Service – General – Time-of-Day;
 - f. Industrial Service – Time-of-Day Service 1,000 kWh/Day Minimum;
 - g. Industrial Service – Time-of-Day Service 500 kW Minimum;
 - h. Industrial Service – Time-of-Day Transmission Voltage;
 - i. Industrial Service – Time-of-Day 4,000 KW/Day Minimum;
 - j. Industrial Service – Large Power and Light;
 - k. Contract Service – Street Lighting;
 - l. Contract Service – Traffic Signals;
 - m. Contract Service – ECD;
 - n. Contract Service – Wheeling;
 - o. Community Solar Garden Bill Credit (Pilot Program); and
 - p. Community Solar Garden Program?
3. Should Utilities implement the Energy-Wise Time-of-Day program to address changes related to energy regulations in the State of Colorado, sustainable energy transmission, new metering technology, and growth in the community as proposed?
4. Should Utilities modify the Non-Metered rate as proposed?
5. Should Utilities update the Enhanced Power Reserve Capacity Charge?

6. Should Utilities modify the Community Solar Garden Program and Pilot Program Bill Credit by customer rate class as proposed?
7. Should Utilities update the Electric Vehicle Public Charging Service – Time-of-Day rates?
8. Should Utilities revise the Contract Service – Military and Contract Service – Wheeling rate schedule to reflect the name changes to Peterson Space Force Base and Cheyenne Mountain Space Force Base?
9. Should Utilities make clerical corrections as proposed?

Natural Gas Service:

1. Is an increase to the Natural Gas Service revenues of \$3.6 million for 2025, \$3.7 million for 2026, \$3.8 million for 2027, \$4.1 million for 2028, and \$4.2 million for 2029 appropriate based on the 2025 rate case test-year period?
2. Should rates and tariffs for the following Natural Gas Service Rate Schedules be revised as proposed:
 - a. Residential Service – Firm;
 - b. Commercial Service – Small Firm;
 - c. Commercial Service – Large Firm;
 - d. Industrial Service – Interruptible;
 - e. Industrial Transportation Service – Firm;
 - f. Contract Service – Military Firm; and
 - g. Contract Service – Military Interruptible?
3. Should Utilities modify the Interruptible Service for Industrial Service – Interruptible (G2I, G3M), Industrial Prescheduled (G3D), and Contract Service – Interruptible (GCS-INTS, GCS-G7M) rate schedules to eliminate Utilities conducted curtailment test event and clarify customer test requirement of backup equipment?
4. Should Utilities modify the natural gas rate schedules related to Industrial Service – Transportation Firm (G4T) to add Long and Short Restricted

Delivery Day events (RDD), application of RDD Imbalance Charges and clarification of central time basis of nomination schedule?

5. Should Utilities modify the Contract Service – Military Firm (GCS-FIRM) and Contract Service – Military Interruptible (GCS-INTS, GCS-G7M) rate schedules to reflect the revised name of Peterson Space Force Base?

Water Service:

1. Is an increase to the Water Service revenues of \$13.9 million for 2025, \$14.9 million for 2026, \$16.1 million for 2027, \$17.4 million for 2028, and \$18.7 million for 2029 appropriate based on the 2025 rate case test-year period?
2. Should rates and tariffs for the following Water Service Rate Schedules be revised as proposed:
 - a. Residential Service;
 - b. Nonresidential Service;
 - c. Large Nonseasonal Service;
 - d. Contract Service – Military;
 - e. Contract Service – Regional;
 - f. Nonpotable;
 - g. Augmentation; and
 - h. Temporary Service – Hydrant Use?
3. Should Utilities modify the Contract Service – Military (WSC-MIL) rate schedule to reflect the revised name of Peterson Space Force Base and Cheyenne Mountain Space Force Station?

Wastewater Service:

1. Is an increase to the Wastewater Service revenues of \$6.6 million for 2025, \$7.2 million for 2026, \$7.9 million for 2027, \$8.7 million for 2028, and \$9.6 million for 2029 appropriate for the 2025 rate case test-year period?
2. Should rates and tariffs for the following Wastewater Service Rate Schedules be revised as proposed:

- a. Residential Service;
 - b. Nonresidential Service;
 - c. Contract Service – Military;
 - d. Contract Service – Regional; and
 - e. Liquid Waste Hauler?
3. Should Utilities modify the Contract Service – Military (MIL) rate schedule to reflect the revised name of Peterson Space Force Base and Cheyenne Mountain Space Force Station?

Utilities Rules and Regulations:

1. Should Utilities modify electric line extension fees and policies including updating fees to full cost, addition of congested space fees, and replacement of revenue guarantee contracts with fees based on time and materials cost with option for recovery agreement?
2. Should Utilities modify the natural gas line extension fees replacing percentage based fees with cost per foot based fees and fees based on time and materials cost, updating fees to full cost, addition of congested space fees, and replacement of refund contracts with option for recovery agreement?
3. Should Utilities update the general, electric, natural gas, water, wastewater, and development fees to bring them to current cost?
4. Should Utilities add new development fees for wastewater analysis report and construction drawing review?
5. Should Utilities add annexation application fees applicable when annexation applications require utility studies and/or analysis not already included in Utilities' existing system plans?
6. Should Utilities add Large Load Interconnection Study requirements for customers requesting interconnection of loads exceeding 5 MW for electric, 2.5 Dth per hour for natural gas, .25 MGD per day for water, and .25 MGD per day for wastewater?

7. Should Utilities add Large Load Interconnection Study Fees for customers requesting interconnection of loads exceeding 20 MW for electric, 10 Dth per hour for natural gas, 1 MGD per day for Water, and 1 MGD per day for Wastewater?
8. Should Utilities add an alternate water and wastewater recovery agreement, unit recovery charge computation method, incorporating a compound interest factor?
9. Should Utilities make the proposed changes to facilitate the Energy-Wise Time-of-Day program?
10. Should Utilities make the proposed clerical changes to the URR?