



City of Colorado Springs

City Hall
107 N. Nevada Avenue
Colorado Springs, CO
80903

Meeting Minutes City Council

City Council meetings are broadcast live on Channel 18. In accordance with the ADA, anyone requiring an auxiliary aid to participate in this meeting should make the request as soon as possible but no later than 48 hours before the scheduled event. Documents created by third parties may not meet all accessibility criteria.

Tuesday, February 10, 2026

9:00 AM

Council Chambers

How to Watch the Meeting

Coloradosprings.gov/springstv | Facebook Live: Colorado Springs City Council
Facebook Page @coscity Council | SPRINGS TV - Comcast Channel 18 / 880 (HD)
- Stratus IQ Channel 76 / 99 (Streaming)

How to Comment on Agenda Items

Before the meeting, you may email general comments for items not on the agenda or comments regarding agenda items to: allcouncil@coloradosprings.gov

Estimated agenda item times are provided for planning purposes and do not constitute notice of a specific time for any item. Items may take more or less time than estimated. City Council may amend the order of items.

1. Call to Order and Roll Call

President Crow-Iverson called the meeting to order at 9:00 AM.

Present: 8 - President Lynette Crow-Iverson, Councilmember Dave Donelson, Councilmember Kimberly Gold, Councilmember Nancy Henjum, Councilmember David Leinweber, Councilmember Roland Rainey Jr., President Pro Tem Brian Risley, and Councilmember Brandy Williams

Excused: 1 - Councilmember Tom Bailey

2. Invocation and Pledge of Allegiance

The Invocation was made by Carrie West First United Methodist Church.

President Crow-Iverson led the Pledge of Allegiance.

3. Changes to Agenda/Postponements

Councilmember Henjum requested item 4B.D be removed from the Consent Calendar.

Consensus of Council agreed to this change on the agenda.

4. Consent Calendar

These items will be acted upon as a whole, unless a specific item is called for discussion by a Councilmember or a citizen wishing to address the City Council. (Any items called up for separate consideration shall be acted upon following the Mayor's Business.)

4A. Second Presentation:

4B. First Presentation:

4B.A. [26-055](#) City Council Regular Meeting Minutes January 27, 2026

Presenter:

Sarah B. Johnson, City Clerk

Attachments: [1-27-2026 City Council Meeting Minutes Final](#)

The Minutes were approved on the Consent Calendar.

4B.B. [CODE-25-0005](#) Ordinance No. 26-01 amending Chapter 7 (the "Unified Development Code" or "UDC") of the Code of the City of Colorado Springs 2001, as amended, as related to Signs.
(Legislative)

Related Files: CODE-25-0005

Located in All Council Districts

Presenter:

Kurt Schmitt, Program Administrator II, City Planning Department
Daniel Sexton, Land Use Review/Development Review Enterprises
Planning Manager, City Planning Department
Kevin Walker, Planning Director, City Planning Department

Attachments: [Planning-SignCodeORD-2026-01-21](#)
[Staff Report_Sign Ordinance CODE-25-0005_KS_final](#)
[Chapter 7_Current Sign Regulations](#)
[HBA letter](#)
[7.5.702 AMENDMENT TO UDC TEXT](#)
[CITY COUNCIL - CODE-25-0005_final](#)

This Ordinance was approved on first reading on the Consent Calendar.

4B.C. [26-044](#) Ordinance NO. 26-02 amending Part 2 (Use and Occupancy of Public Property) of Article 2 (City Properties) of Chapter 3 (Public Property and Public Works) of the Code of the City of Colorado Springs 2001, as amended, pertaining to sign regulations

(Legislative)

Related Files: CODE-25-0005
Located in All Council Districts

Presenter:

Kurt Schmitt, Program Administrator II, City Planning Department
Daniel Sexton, Land Use Review/ Development Review Enterprises
Planning Manager, City Planning Department
Kevin Walker, Planning Director, City Planning Department

Attachments: [Chapter 3 Revocable Permits Sign Ordinance](#)
[CITY COUNCIL - CODE-25-0005_final](#)

This Ordinance was approved on first reading on the Consent Calendar.

4B.E. [26-031](#)

A Resolution Approving a Memorandum of Understanding among Colorado Springs Utilities, the City of Colorado Springs, El Paso County, the El Paso-Teller County E911 Authority, and Teller County, to Acquire Digital Orthorectified Imagery in 2026

Presenter:

Travas Deal, Chief Executive Officer, Colorado Springs Utilities
Tim Scheiderer, Senior Attorney, City Attorney's Office-Utilities
Michael Herrmann, Manager - Asset Management/Geospatial Technology, Colorado Springs Utilities

Attachments: [PPGA Resolution 2026](#)
[2026 PPGA MOU](#)
[Exhibit 1 - PPGA IGA](#)
[Exhibit 2 - 2026 SOW](#)
[Exhibit 3 - PPGA 2026 Cost breakdown](#)
[PPGA 2026 City Council Presentation](#)

This Resolution was adopted on the Consent Calendar.

4B.F. [26-029](#)

A Resolution Authorizing the Disposal of Surplus City Property to Project Manitou ("the Purchaser")

Presenter:

Troy Stover, Business Park Development Director, City of Colorado Springs

Attachments: [Project Manitou Resolution](#)
[Project Manitou Presentation](#)
[Signed Resolution 04-26.pdf](#)

This Resolution was adopted on the Consent Calendar.

- 4B.G. [ZONE-25-00](#)** Ordinance No. 26-03 to amend the zoning map of the City of Colorado Springs pertaining to approximately 21.19 acres located northeast of Bradley Road and Powers Boulevard from R-5/AP-O (Multi-Family, High with Airport Overlay) to R-FLEX M/AP-O (R-Flex, Medium Scale with Airport Overlay). (Quasi-Judicial) (Second Reading and Public Hearing)

Attachments: [Staff Report Ridge at Waterview North Zone Change](#)
[Exhibit A Legal Description](#)
[ORD Ridge at Waterview North Zone Change](#)
[Exhibit B Rezone Map](#)
[Figure 1 Project Statement](#)
[Figure 2 Annexation Ordinance No. 23-50](#)
[Figure 3 Villages at Waterview North Master Plan](#)
[Figure 4 Zoning Ordinance No. 23-54](#)
[Figure 5 Villages at Waterview North Concept Plan](#)
[Figure 6 Land Use Statement](#)
[Figure 7 Pre-Approved Development Plan](#)
[Ridge at Waterview Zone Change](#)
[Presentation Ridge at Waterview Zone Change_DFF](#)
[CPC Minutes Excerpt - Ridge at Waterview 1.14.26](#)

This Ordinance was approved on first reading on the Consent Calendar.

Approval of the Consent Agenda

Motion by President Pro Tem Risley, seconded by Councilmember Gold, that all matters on the Consent Calendar be passed, adopted, and approved by unanimous consent of the members present. The motion passed by a vote of 8-0-1-0

Aye: 8 - Crow-Iverson, Donelson, Gold, Henjum, Leinweber, Rainey Jr., Risley, and Williams

Excused: 1 - Bailey

5. Recognitions

- 5.A. [26-061](#)** A Proclamation recognizing February 2026 as Black History Month

Presenter:

Lynette Crow-Iverson, Council President and Councilmember At Large

Attachments: [Black History Month Proclamation](#)

President Crow-Iverson read a joint Proclamation in recognizing February

2026 as Black History Month.

Councilmember Rainey recognized everyone who have contributed to the strength of the City and introduced Shirley Martinez, President, Reverend Dr. Martin Luther King, Jr. Legacy Preservation Society Board, Minister Earl Schaffer, Jr., Martin Luther King Jr, Preservation Society, Candice McKnight, President and Chief Executive Officer, African American Historical Society of Colorado Springs, and Willie Breacell, Chair, Buffalo Soldiers Memorial Committee, who recognized the importance of recognizing and celebrating Black History Month, the many achievements of Black leaders and Black Americans, and the events planned for Black History Month.

Councilmember Gold expressed gratitude for the individuals who supported this Proclamation.

5.B. [26-046](#) City Council Appointments to Boards, Commissions, and Committees

Presenter:

Lynette Crow-Iverson, Council President and Councilmember At-Large

Attachments: [02102026 Boards Commissions and Committees Appointment](#)
[02102026 Parks Board](#)

President Crow-Iverson presented the City Council Appointments to Boards, Commissions, and Committees.

Councilmember Gold and Leinweber expressed appreciation for the nominees who wish to serve the City.

Motion by Councilmember Donelson, seconded by Councilmember Gold, that the City Council Appointments to Boards, Commissions, and Committees be approved. The motion passed by a vote of 8-0-1-0

Aye: 8 - Crow-Iverson, Donelson, Gold, Henjum, Leinweber, Rainey Jr., Risley, and Williams

Excused: 1 - Bailey

6. Mayor's Business

6.A. [26-020](#) Updates from the Mayor's Representative

Presenter:

Travis Easton, Acting Chief of Staff

Travis Easton, Acting Chief of Staff, stated Friday, February 13, 2026, is a

City furlough day, but essential personnel will still be available as needed.

7. Items Called Off Consent Calendar

4B.D. [25-590](#)

An Ordinance Amending Section 110 (Camping on Public Property Prohibited) and Repealing Section 111 (Camping and Waste Deposit Within Public Stream/Public Stream Riparian Zone Prohibited) of Part 1 (General Offenses) of Article 6 (Offenses Affecting Property) and Repealing Section 404 (Camping Restrictions) of Part 4 (Offenses Relating to Persons) of Article 9 (Park Offenses) of Chapter 9 (Public Offenses) of the Code of the City of Colorado Springs 2001, As Amended, Pertaining to Camping Regulation, and Providing Penalties for the Violation Thereof.

Presenter:

Dave Donelson, Councilmember District 1

Lieutenant Ryan Tepley, Homeless Outreach Team, Colorado Springs Police Department

Sergeant Olav Chaney, Homeless Outreach Team, Colorado Springs Police Department

Emily Vandenberg, Prosecuting Attorney, City Attorney's Office

Attachments: [2025 Camping Ordinance - Council Presentation](#)
[Ch9-OffensesCampingProhibited-ORD-2026-02-04](#)
[Appendix to 9.6.110 Camping Prohibited Amendments](#)
[2026 Camping Ordinance - Council Presentation Final](#)
[Municipal Court Process Outline](#)

Councilmember Henjum provided a brief history of the Ordinance which was originally postponed to the May 12, 2026 City Council meeting to allow providers, stakeholders, and those impacted by the legislation, more time to be included and collaborate in the process of the proposed Ordinance which addresses people camping in their vehicles. She stated that she will not be supporting moving the hearing date to the February 24, 2026 City Council meeting because there has not been enough time to create thoughtful and effective legislation.

Councilmember Leinweber stated there is already a regulation in place prohibiting camping and although there is a great need to address the homelessness issues, he does not feel delaying this tool for law enforcement is the solution.

Motion by Councilmember Donelson, seconded by President Pro Tem Risley, to set a revised legislative hearing date of February 24, 2026 for an Ordinance Amending Section 110 (Camping on Public Property

Prohibited) and Repealing Section 111 (Camping and Waste Deposit Within Public Stream/Public Stream Riparian Zone Prohibited) of Part 1 (General Offenses) of Article 6 (Offenses Affecting Property) and Repealing Section 404 (Camping Restrictions) of Part 4 (Offenses Relating to Persons) of Article 9 (Park Offenses) of Chapter 9 (Public Offenses) of the Code of the City of Colorado Springs 2001, As Amended, Pertaining to Camping Regulation, and Providing Penalties for the Violation Thereof be approved. The motion passed by a vote of 6-2-1-0

Aye: 6 - Crow-Iverson, Donelson, Leinweber, Rainey Jr., Risley, and Williams

No: 2 - Gold, and Henjum

Excused: 1 - Bailey

8. Utilities Business

- 8.A.** [26-030](#) A Resolution Authorizing the Recreational Use of Rosemont Reservoir and Surrounding Property

Presenter:

Jessica K. Davis, Land Resource Manager, Colorado Springs Utilities
Travas Deal, Chief Executive Officer, Colorado Springs Utilities

Attachments: [Resolution -CSU-AllowingRecreationRosemont final](#)
[CC Presentation - Rosemont Recreation](#)
[Signed Resolution 05-26.pdf](#)

Jessica Davis, Land Resource Manager, Colorado Springs Utilities (CSU), presented the Resolution authorizing the recreational use of Rosemont Reservoir and surrounding property. She gave a brief background of the site, expanded recreation, and next steps.

April Estep, Deputy Regional Manager, Colorado Parks and Wildlife expressed support of their ongoing partnership with CSU.

Councilmember Leinweber requested additional information regarding CPW's role at Rosemont Reservoir. Ms. Estep outlined the responsibilities of operations of CPW to expand recreational uses

Citizens Cindy Margules and Sharon Uhrig, owners of the property and JoHoMa Cabin, near Rosemont Reservoir, spoke in opposition of the Resolution.

Becky Leinweber, Executive Director, Pikes Peak Outdoor Recreation Alliance, spoke in support of the Resolution.

Councilmember Rainey asked if there has been any wildfire risk mitigation. Ms. Estep confirmed there has.

Councilmember Donelson asked if the adjacent owners would continue to be included as this develops. Ms. Davis confirmed they will be.

Councilmember Donelson asked if Rosemont Reservoir is primarily used for drinking water. Ms. Davis stated it is not.

Motion by Councilmember Gold, seconded by Councilmember Rainey Jr., that the Resolution authorizing the recreational use of the Rosemont Reservoir and surrounding property be adopted. The motion passed by a vote of 8-0-1-0

Aye: 8 - Crow-Iverson, Donelson, Gold, Henjum, Leinweber, Rainey Jr., Risley, and Williams

Excused: 1 - Bailey

9. Unfinished Business

There was no Unfinished Business.

10. New Business

- 10.A.** [26-063](#) Ordinance NO. 26-04 Creating a new Section 113 (Residence Restrictions For Sexually Violent Predators) of Article 2 (Offenses Affecting Public Safety) Of Chapter 9 (Public Offenses) of The City of Colorado Springs 2001, As Amended, Pertaining to Residency Restrictions for Sexually Violent Predators and Providing Penalties for the Violation Thereof

Presenter:

Tom Bailey, Councilmember District 2

Deputy Chief John Koch, CSPD

Attachments: [Ch9-SexOffenderResidencyRestictions-ORD \(CC meeting\)](#)
[SVP Residency Restrictions](#)

Shantel Withrow, Division Chief, City Attorney's Office, presented the Ordinance creating a new Section 113 (Residence Restrictions For Sexually Violent Predators) of Article 2 (Offenses Affecting Public Safety) of Chapter 9 (Public Offenses) of the City Code, pertaining to residency restrictions for sexually violent predators and providing penalties for the violation thereof which was requested to be brought forward by Councilmember Bailey.

There were no comments on this item.

Motion by Councilmember Henjum, seconded by Councilmember Gold, that the Ordinance creating a new Section 113 (Residence Restrictions For Sexually Violent Predators) of Article 2 (Offenses Affecting Public Safety) of Chapter 9 (Public Offenses) of the City Code, pertaining to residency restrictions for sexually violent predators and providing penalties for the violation thereof be approved on first reading. The motion passed by a vote of 8-0-1-0

Aye: 9 - Bailey, Crow-Iverson, Donelson, Gold, Henjum, Leinweber, Rainey Jr., Risley, and Williams

11. Public Hearing

- 11.A.** [APPL-25-0010/APPL-25-0011](#) An Appeal of the City Planning Commission decision denying an appeal of the administratively approved Colorado Springs Temple Development Plan, and an appeal of the City Planning Commission decision applying conditions to the approval of the Colorado Springs Temple Development Plan, for the property consisting of 18.6 acres located at the southwest corner of Flying Horse Club Drive and Barossa Valley Road.
(Quasi-Judicial)

Related Files: DEPN-25-0056; APPL-25-0008; APPL-25-0010;
APPL-25-0011
Located in Council District #2

Presenter:
Tamara Baxter, Planning Supervisor, City Planning Department
Kevin Walker, Planning Director, City Planning Department

Attachments: [APPL-25-0010 Appeal \(Kuhn\) Request Application](#)
[APPL-25-0011 Appeal \(Church\) Request Application](#)
[Attachment 1 - Neighborhood Meetings Notes](#)
[Attachment 2 - Public Comments](#)
[Attachment 2a - Additional Public Comments](#)
[Attachment 3 - Applicant Responses to Public Comments](#)
[Attachment 5 - Approved Development Plan](#)
[Attachment 6 - Development Plan Project Statement](#)
[Attachment 7 - Appeal Application](#)
[Colorado Springs Temple Appeal Staff Report TPB](#)
[UDC Part 12 - Exterior Lighting](#)
[UDC Section 7.4.203 - Exceptions and Encroachments](#)
[UDC Section 7.5.409 - General Criteria for Approval](#)
[UDC Section 7.5.415 - Appeals](#)
[UDC Section 7.5.515 - Development Plan](#)
[CPC Minutes Excerpt - Colorado Springs Temple Appeal - 12.10.2025](#)
[COS Temple Appeal Presentation TPB February 10](#)

Tamara Baxter, Planning Supervisor, City Planning Department, presented the appeal of the City Planning Commission decision denying an appeal of the administratively approved Colorado Springs Temple Development Plan, and an appeal of the City Planning Commission decision applying conditions to the approval of the Colorado Springs Temple Development Plan, for the property consisting of 18.6 acres located at the southwest corner of Flying Horse Club Drive and Barossa Valley Road. She provided an overview of the vicinity map, project summary, site plan, Colorado Springs Temple appeal, stakeholder involvement, timeline of review, agency review, PlanCOS compliance, Planning Commission decision, height exemption, application review criteria, and optional motions.

President Pro Tem Risley asked if the Development Plan was approved Administratively without any conditions. Ms. Baxter confirmed it was.

Councilmember Williams asked if the United States Air Force Academy (USAFA) and Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) were in support of the original project. Ms. Baxter confirmed they were.

Michael Kuhn, Esq., representing the appellant, Jennifer Kuhn, outlined the applicant's proposal, light fixtures, Planning Commission outcome, Unified Development Code (UDC) Section 7.4.203(B)(2) design violation, visibility

from residential zones, dimensions of the spire, exterior lighting violations, UDC exterior lighting requirements, applicant's project statement, façade lighting photometric statistics, Religious Land Use and Institutionalized Persons Act (RLUIPA), and what they are requesting.

Carolynne White, Brownstein Hyatt Farber Schreck, representing the applicant, The Church of Jesus Christ of Latter-Day Saints, identified their project team, vicinity map, site plan, standard of review on appeal, Flying Horse Master Plan amendment, regional existing temples, exterior/east facade, compliance with UDC requirements, and context views. She went over the lighting design compliance, elevation, fixture specifications, illuminance diagram, lumens, footcandles, photometric analysis, and art glass.

Councilmember Henjum asked if City Council is to determine the definition of "top tier". Sara Brewen, Attorney, Senior, City Attorney's Office, stated if City Council maintains the conditions placed by the Planning Commission's recommendation, they would need to clarify what was meant by the top tier of the spire because it is not included in the UDC.

John Suthers, representing the applicant, identified the provisions of the Religious Land Use And Institutionalized Persons Act (RLUIPA) and stated this project is in full compliance with all local land use regulations, including the lighting requirements. It is also supported by federal and constitutional law and cannot be denied purely on aesthetic reasons.

Councilmember Henjum requested additional information regarding the case in Massachusetts where the steeple height was lowered. Ms. White stated in that case, it was determined that the height of the steeple is not for the judge to decide, but the church voluntarily reduced the height of the steeple.

Citizens Wayne Williams, Shepard Cozza, Ryan Frost, Catherine Bullock, Daniel Neuffer, Jon Harvey, Crysta Wells, Steven Wells, West Allen, Creed Spillane, Mike Law, Stephannie Warner, Kevin Woodward, Ed Ellsworth, Michael Clawson, and Nathan Wood spoke in support of the project as it was originally submitted.

Citizens Dave Phillips, Linda Miller, and Monica Fuchs spoke in opposition of the appeal.

Mr. Kuhn stated the Planning Commission's decision is contrary to the provisions of the UDC, the UDC requires anything above forty-five feet in this district to be designed to minimize visibility, the proposed illumination is not consistent with that standard, the UDC states there shall be no upward lights without a canopy or roof RLUIPA does not give a religious institution carte blanche to violate any provision of the City Code that it wants, since the applicant has agreed to other light and height modifications it must not be a substantial burden on their religion, New Life Church is not located in the middle of a residential district and all the light projected on it is being backed down. He stated Illumination does not minimize visibility as a matter of law, they will not know the lighting impact without a complete photometric study of the spire, these facts were not presented to the Administration and requested City Council remand the matter back to Ms. Baxter

Ms. White stated the appellant first filed that he wants the steeple to be screened, then he said he wants it to be reduced in height, today he said he wants it to be reduced in height to 95 feet which is the actual height that it is, and then he said it is not about the height of the steeple, it is about the lighting, even though the project complies with all of the requirements in the UDC. She stated the spire is ninety-five feet, not one hundred forty feet, the lights are not K2, they are K1 and P6 which are not the most intense available, there are canopies reflecting the light back down from the steeple as required, there a photometric study presented/reviewed by staff, all of the light fixtures are fully shielded, the design modifications that have been made by the applicant were made in order to minimize visibility from residential neighborhoods, as is required by the City Code, of the 313 correspondence received, approximately 294 were expressing support for this project, the architectural/aesthetic choices made in designing this temple, were made by the highest authority within the church, and the spire and its illumination, are all critical components of the fundamental expression of faith for this community, the appellant has identified no compelling governmental interest in removing these things or that these things impose a substantial burden on them, approving the appellant's requests would not be consistent with the law, and requested City Council to approve the Development Plan as it was originally approved by the Planning Department staff and without the conditions imposed by the Planning Commission.

Councilmember Leinweber asked if this site is not technically completely within a residential community because the long border on the south will be Powers Boulevard. Travis Easton, Acting Chief of Staff, stated the Powers Boulevard extension has been planned for quite some time, it is in the final engineering phases, the elevation of Powers Boulevard will be approximately thirty feet below the elevation of the existing adjacent areas.

Councilmember Leinweber asked if the structure could provide a light and noise buffer from Powers Boulevard for residents. Mr. Easton stated the noise study is currently underway, but with Powers Boulevard being below grade, noise should be minimized.

Councilmember Donelson stated the individuals opposed to the Development Plan highlighted their concerns regarding the height, lighting, and materials of the spire, he believes the church has worked to minimize the lighting while still conveying religious message of the temple with the cornices at various levels of the spire, the materials are not reflective, a screen to hide the spire is not appropriate, and the Development Plan was reviewed and approved by the City's Planning Department. He stated that regarding RLUIPA, unless there is a compelling interest, City Council should not limit expression through the temple or spire.

Councilmember Rainey asked how tall the spire is. Ms. White stated the total height of the entire structure, including the spire, is 140.5 feet. She stated the building is thirty-nine feet and the spire is ninety-five feet.

Councilmember Rainey asked if they will be using 4k lighting. Ms. White confirmed they are planning to use four thousand kelvin white lighting and explained the different ways of measuring light in kelvins, wattage, lumens, and footcandles.

Councilmember Rainey asked if a canopy will be installed to redirect the light downwards. Ms. White explained how the light will be directed downward due to the reflection from the building's canopies and cornices.

Councilmember Williams provided a brief history of Flying Horse Ranch.

Motion by Councilmember Donelson, seconded by Councilmember Williams, to deny the Appeal seeking reversal of the development plan approval but Reverse the City Planning Commission decision to add conditions of approval on the development plan for the Colorado Springs Temple, based upon the finding that only the Temple appellant

met the appeal criteria found in City Code Section 7.5.415. The motion passed by a vote of 8-0-1-0

Aye: 8 - Crow-Iverson, Donelson, Gold, Henjum, Leinweber, Rainey Jr., Risley, and Williams

Excused: 1 - Bailey

12. Added Item Agenda

There were no items added to the Agenda.

13. One Hour of Citizen Discussion for Items not on Today's Agenda per City Council Rules

Citizen Isaac Contreras, Taylor Gray, spoke against the recent actions of the U.S. Immigration and Customs Enforcement (ICE) agents.

Citizen Dustin Hanson stated the Ratio Utility Billing System (RUBS) in the apartment complex where he resides is unequitable.

Citizen Steve Lightfoot stated Stephen King murdered John Lennon and spoke against the red-light camera at the intersection of Platte Avenue and Chelton Boulevard.

Citizen Laurel Bahe requested the January 13, 2026 City Council meeting minutes be revised to reflect Councilmember Donelson's departure and refusal to participate in the commemorative photo.

Citizen Derek Shetrone spoke against Flock artificial intelligence surveillance cameras.

14. Executive Session

There was no Executive Session.

15. Adjourn

There being no further business to come before City Council, Council adjourned at 2:30 PM.

Sarah B. Johnson, City Clerk