BRISTOW-LOWELL

Colorado Springs Urban Renewal Authority



Economic & Planning Systems, Inc. The Economics of Land Use 730 17th Street, Suite 630 • Denver, CO 80202 303.623.3557 • www.epsys.com

OVERVIEW

- URA Plan
- Financial Analysis
 - Development Program
 - Construction Cost
 - "But-for" analysis
- City Impact
- Summary





STUDY AREA

- 6 parcels in Downtown Colorado Springs
- 5.75 acres plus adjacent ROW
- Single ownership QOF Lowell Commons LLC
- All parcels are vacant with no buildings



BRISTOW-LOWELL URA PLAN

PROJECT VISION

- Phase 1: Bristow House: 185 units
- Phase 2: Lowell Commons: 185-205 units
- Attainable housing
 - Rents restricted to 70%–110% AMI
 - Average of 100% AMI
- Additional improvements
 - Sidewalks, streetscape, landscaping, and public park



BRISTOW-LOWELL URA PLAN

PLAN OBJECTIVES

- Implement PlanCOS and HomeCOS
- Prevent and eliminate conditions of blight within the City of Colorado Springs
- Encourage and provide incentives for the private development of affordable housing
- Encourage the development of projects that would not otherwise be considered financially feasible without the participation of Colorado Springs Urban Renewal Authority (CSURA)
- Enhance the current property tax revenue within the city and county with development that will increase the assessed valuation



BRISTOW-LOWELL URA PLAN

PLAN GOALS & CONFORMNACE

- PlanCOS
 - Vibrant Neighborhoods: Downtown
 - Diversity of housing types, styles, and price points
 - Empower neighborhoods to reinvest in order to create community, vibrancy, and to address their specific vision and needs
 - Unique Places: Downtown
 - Embrace changes in land use, infill, reinvestment, & redevelopment to respond to shifts in the market
 - Continue to grow and support Downtown as an inclusive, mixed use, cultural, and economic heart of the region
 - Thriving Economy: Critical Support Typology
 - Build on quality of place and existing competitive advantages
 - Focus on developing areas in the city to maximize investments and preserve open space

- Lowell Neighborhood Concept Plan
 - PUD zoning, infrastructure phasing, street cross sections
- HomeCOS
 - 2A: facilitate affordable and attainable housing as infill development
 - 2C: create public-private partnerships to increase affordable rental housing
- COS Strategic Plan
 - Building community and collaborative relationships

FINANCIAL ANALYSIS

ECONOMIC & PLANNING SYSTEMS

PROGRAM OVERVIEW

- 390 units in two phases
- Affordable project with an average of 100% AMI
- Rents ranging from \$1,274/unit to \$2,575/unit
- Average rental rates of \$1,984/unit or \$3.81/sf
- Average unit size 521 sf

Description	AMI	Units	%Total	Avg. SF per Unit	RBA	Rent per Unit	Rent per SF	Annual Rent
Bristow House								
Studio	70%	1	0.5%	411	411	\$1,274	\$3.10	\$15,291
Studio	80%	10	5.4%	411	4,110	\$1,456	\$3.54	\$174,750
1-Bedroom	80%	8	4.3%	513	4,104	\$1,561	\$3.04	\$149,820
1-Bedroom	100%	142	76.8%	513	72,846	\$1,951	\$3.80	\$3,324,131
2-Bedroom	<u>110%</u>	<u>24</u>	<u>13.0%</u>	<u>621</u>	14,904	<u>\$2,575</u>	<u>\$4.15</u>	<u>\$741,510</u>
Total/Average	100%	185	100.0%	521	96,375	\$1,984	\$3.81	\$4,405,502
Lowell Commons	1							
Studio	70%	1	0.5%	411	411	\$1,326	\$3.23	\$15,908
Studio	80%	11	5.4%	411	4,521	\$1,515	\$3.69	\$199,991
1-Bedroom	86%	9	4.4%	513	4,617	\$1,624	\$3.17	\$175,357
1-Bedroom	100%	157	76.6%	513	80,541	\$2,030	\$3.96	\$3,823,753
2-Bedroom	<u>110%</u>	<u>27</u>	<u>13.2%</u>	<u>621</u>	<u>16,767</u>	<u>\$2,679</u>	<u>\$4.31</u>	<u>\$867,900</u>
Total/Average	104%	205	100.0%	521	106,857	\$2,066	\$3.96	\$5,082,909

¹Annual rent escalation of 2.0% applied for 2 years

Source: Developer; Economic & Planning Systems

ELIGIBLE IMPROVEMENTS

- Total of approximately \$5.9 million in eligible improvements
- Value of affordable units
 - 10% of each phase at 70%-80% AMI valued at \$2.3 million
- Historic bridge preservation
- Dog park and pickleball courts
- ROW improvements, utilities, streetscape, & public art

Eligible Improvements	Factor	Total	%Total
Bristow House			
ROW Writers Way/Victoria St		\$973,000	33.1%
Curb, Gutter, and Utilities		\$500,000	17.0%
Historic Bridge		\$50,000	1.7%
Grading for Dog Park and Pickleball Courts		\$250,000	8.5%
Land Planning/Landscaping		\$50,000	1.7%
Public Art		\$50,000	1.7%
Value of Affordable Units	10% of units	<u>\$1,065,395</u>	<u>36.3%</u>
Subtotal		\$2,938,395	100.0%
Lowell Commons			
Roads and Streetscape		\$500,000	17.1%
Utilities		\$650,000	22.3%
Exterior Improvements		\$500,000	17.1%
Public Art		\$50,000	1.7%
Value of Affordable Units	10% of units	<u>\$1,219,843</u>	<u>41.8%</u>
Subtotal		\$2,919,843	100.0%
Total		\$5,858,238	

Source: Developer; Economic & Planning Systems

BRISTOW HOUSE

ECONOMIC & PLANNING SYSTEMS

CONSTRUCTION COSTS

BRISTOW HOUSE

- Land: \$7.9M
 - \$42,700/unit or \$82/sf
- Hard Costs: \$38.6M
 - Hard costs (without eligible imp.): \$36.7M
 - \$198,500/unit or \$381/sf
 - Hard costs for eligible imp.: \$1.9M
 - \$10,100/unit or \$19/sf
- Soft Costs: \$16.5M
 - \$89,100/unit or \$171/sf
- Total Cost: \$63.0M
 - \$340,000/unit
 - \$654/sf

Description		Total	þ	er RBA 96,375	per Unit 185	% of Total
Land Cost						
Land Cost		\$ 7,900,000	\$	82	\$ 42,703	12.5%
Land Cost	4.6 acres	\$ 7,900,000	\$	82	\$ 42,703	12.5%
Total Land Cost		\$ 7,900,000	\$	82	\$ 42,703	12.5%
Hard Costs						
Public Improvements		\$ 1,873,000	\$	19	\$ 10,124	3.0%
ROW Writers Way/Victoria St		\$ 973,000	\$	10	\$ 5,259	1.5%
Curb, Gutter, and Utilities		\$ 500,000	\$	5	\$ 2,703	0.8%
Historic Bridge		\$ 50,000	\$	1	\$ 270	0.1%
Grading for Dog Park and Pickle	eball Courts	\$ 250,000	\$	3	\$ 1,351	0.4%
Land Planning/Landscaping		\$ 50,000	\$	1	\$ 270	0.1%
Public Art		\$ 50,000	\$	1	\$ 270	0.1%
Development Cost		\$ 36,731,136	\$	381	\$198,547	58.3%
Sitework		\$ 4,812,284	\$	50	\$ 26,012	7.6%
Development Cost		\$ 27,944,350	\$	290	\$151,051	44.4%
Parking Structure		\$ 2,000,000	\$	21	\$ 10,811	3.2%
Contingency	5.7% of HC	\$ 1,974,502	\$	20	\$ 10,673	3.1%
Total Hard Costs		\$ 38,604,136	\$	401	\$208,671	61.3%
Soft Costs						
Soft Costs		\$ 16,486,565	\$	171	\$ 89,117	26.2%
Soft Costs		\$ 2,485,127	\$	26	\$ 13,433	3.9%
Tap & Impact Fees		\$ 393,637	\$	4	\$ 2,128	0.6%
General Conditions		\$ 3,293,366	\$	34	\$ 17,802	5.2%
Indirect Cost		\$ 783,525	\$	8	\$ 4,235	1.2%
Financing Cost		\$ 2,803,000	\$	29	\$ 15,151	4.4%
Construction Mgmt. Fee		\$ 2,521,045	\$	26	\$ 13,627	4.0%
Developer Contingency	5.1% of HC	\$ 1,974,502	\$	20	\$ 10,673	3.1%
Development Fee	5.8% of HC	\$ 2,232,363	\$	23	\$ 12,067	3.5%
Subtotal Soft Costs		\$ 16,486,565	\$	171	\$ 89,117	26.2%
TOTAL CONSTRUCTION COST		\$ 55,090,701	\$	572	\$297,788	87.5%
TOTAL COST WITH LAND COST		\$ 62,990,701	\$	654	\$340,490	100.0%

Source: Developer; Economic & Planning Systems

STATIC PERFORMANCE

BRISTOW HOUSE

- Effective Gross Income: \$4.4M/year
 - Rental income: \$4.4M
 - Other income: \$190,000
 - Vacancy: 5.0%
- Operating Expenditures: \$1.0M/year
 - 23% of gross income
- Net Operating Income: \$3.3M

Туре		Lease Rate					Total R	%of Total		
		р	er month				Monthly		Annual	
						_				
REVENUE										
Rental Income	185 units	\$	1,984	per unit		\$	367,125	\$	4,405,502	95.9% of PGI
Studio	1 units	\$	1,274	per unit		\$	1,274	\$	15,291	0.3% of PGI
Studio	10 units	\$	1,456	per unit		\$	14,563	\$	174,750	3.8% of PGI
1-Bedroom	8 units	\$	1,561	per unit		\$	12,485	\$	149,820	3.3% of PGI
1-Bedroom	142 units	\$	1,951	per unit		\$	277,011	\$	3,324,131	72.3% of PGI
2-Bedroom	24 units	\$	2,575	per unit		\$	61,793	\$	741,510	16.1% of PGI
Other Income						\$	15,833	\$	190,000	4.1% of PGI
Other Income/RUBS ^[1]						\$	7,708	\$	92,500	2.0% of PGI
Parking ^[2]	65 units	\$	125.00	per space	•	\$	8,125	\$	97,500	2.1% of PGI
POTENTIAL GROSS INCOME (PGI)						\$	382,958	\$	4,595,502	100.0% of PGI
Net Rental Income						\$	(25,756)	\$	(309,075)	-6.7% of PGI
Less: Residential Vacancy	5.00% per year					\$	(18,356)	\$	(220,275)	-4.8% of PGI
Less: 80% AMI Utility Reserve						\$	(7,400)	\$	(88,800)	-1.9% of PGI
EFFECTIVE GROSS INCOME (EGI)						\$	364,602	\$	4,375,227	95.2% of PGI
								-		
EXPENDITURES										
Variable Expenses		\$	2,625	per unit		\$	(40,469)	\$	(485,625)	11.1% of EGI
Payroll	185 units	\$	1,300	per unit		\$	(20,042)	\$	(240,500)	5.5% of EGI
Utilities	185 units	\$	250	per unit		\$	(3,854)	\$	(46,250)	1.1% of EGI
Maintenance	185 units	\$	700	per unit		\$	(10,792)	\$	(129,500)	3.0% of EGI
Marketing	185 units	\$	125	per unit		\$	(1,927)	\$	(23,125)	0.5% of EGI
Administrative	185 units	\$	250	per unit		\$	(3,854)	\$	(46,250)	1.1% of EGI
Non-Variable Expenses		\$	2,791	per unit		\$	(43,033)	\$	(516,394)	11.8% of EGI
Property Taxes	185 units	\$	1,419	per unit		\$	(21,875)	\$	(262,500)	6.0% of EGI
Insurance	185 units	\$	425	per unit		\$	(6,552)	\$	(78,625)	1.8% of EGI
Replacement Reserves	185 units	\$	250	per unit		\$	(3,854)	\$	(46,250)	1.1% of EGI
Management Fee	2.9% of EGI	\$	697	per unit		\$	(10,752)	\$	(129,019)	2.9% of EGI
TOTAL EXPENDITURES		\$	5,416	per unit		\$	(83,502)	\$	(1,002,019)	22.9% of EGI
NET OPERATING INCOME (NOI)						\$	273,701	\$	3,284,408	75.1% of EGI

STATIC PERFORMANCE: WITH AND WITHOUT TIF

BRISTOW HOUSE

- Target hurdle rate: 6.33% YOC
 - NOI/Cost
- In order to achieve that hurdle rate, net project costs need to be reduced by \$11.1M
- Current costs are estimated at \$63.0M
- The present value of future TIF revenue is estimated at \$2.5M
- With this amount, the project achieves a YOC of 5.43%

NET OPERATING INCOME (NOI)		\$ 273,701	\$	3,284,408
HURDLE RATE ACTUAL YIELD ON COST (YOC)				6.33% 5.21%
NET DEV. COST TARGET ESTIMATED DEVELOPMENT COST GAP: COST	l		\$ <u>\$</u>	51,886,379 62,990,701 11,104,322
PERFORMANCE WITH SUBSIDY				
Net Operating Income			\$	3,284,408
Project Cost Total Development Cost Less: Present Value of Property TIF Net Cost			\$ <u>\$</u>	62,990,701 2,483,839 60,506,862
YIELD ON COST (YOC) WITH SUBSIDY				5.43%

TIF REVENUE: PROPERTY TAX INCREMENT



Source: Economic & Planning Systems

LOWELL COMMONS

ECONOMIC & PLANNING SYSTEMS

CONSTRUCTION COSTS

LOWELL COMMONS

- Land: \$6.5M
 - \$31,700/unit or \$67/sf
- Hard Costs: \$41.5M
 - Hard costs (without eligible imp.): \$39.8M
 - \$194,300/unit or \$413/sf
 - Hard costs for eligible imp.: \$1.7M
 - \$8,300/unit or \$18/sf
- Soft Costs: \$17.1M
 - \$83,200/unit or \$160/sf
- Total Cost: \$65.1M
 - \$317,600/unit
 - \$609/sf

Description			Total		per RBA 106,857	per Unit 205	% of Total
Land Cost		¢	6 500 000	¢	61	\$ 21 707	10.0%
Land Cost	1.2 00000	4 2	6,500,000	م	61	\$ 31,707	10.0%
Land Cost	1.2 acres	Ψ	0,300,000	Ψ	01	φ 31,707	10.076
Subtotal Land Cost		\$	6,500,000	\$	61	\$ 31,707	10.0%
Hard Costs							
Public Improvements		\$	1,700,000	\$	16	\$ 8,293	2.6%
Roads and Streetscape		\$	500,000	\$	5	\$ 2,439	0.8%
Utilities		\$	650,000	\$	6	\$ 3,171	1.0%
Exterior Improvements		\$	500,000	\$	5	\$ 2,439	0.8%
Public Art		\$	50,000	\$	0	\$ 244	0.1%
Development Cost		\$	39,834,274	\$	373	\$194,314	61.2%
Sitework		\$	5,006,700	\$	47	\$ 24,423	7.7%
Development Cost		\$	27,373,302	\$	256	\$133,528	42.1%
Parking Structure		\$	5,400,000	\$	51	\$ 26,341	8.3%
Contingency	6.0% of HC	\$	2,054,272	\$	19	\$ 10,021	3.2%
Total Hard Costs		\$	41,534,274	\$	389	\$202,606	63.8%
Soft Costs							
Soft Costs		\$	17,062,435	\$	160	\$ 83,231	26.2%
Soft Costs		\$	2,585,526	\$	24	\$ 12,612	4.0%
Tap & Impact Fees		\$	409,540	\$	4	\$ 1,998	0.6%
General Conditions		\$	3,426,418				
Indirect Cost		\$	815,179				
Financing Cost		\$	2,916,241	\$	27	\$ 14,226	4.5%
Construction Mgmt. Fee		\$	2,622,895	\$	25	\$ 12,795	4.0%
Development Contingency		\$	2,054,272				
Development Fee	5.4% of HC	\$	2,232,363	\$	21	\$ 10,890	3.4%
Subtotal Soft Costs		\$	17,062,435	\$	160	\$ 83,231	26.2%
TOTAL CONSTRUCTION COST		\$	58,596,709	\$	548	\$285,838	90.0%
TOTAL COST WITH LAND COST		\$	65,096,709	\$	609	\$317,545	100.0%

Source: Developer; Economic & Planning Systems

STATIC PERFORMANCE

LOWELL COMMONS

- Effective Gross Income: \$5.1 M/year
 - Rental income: \$5.1M
 - Other income: \$321,000
 - Vacancy: 5.0%
- Operating Expenditures: \$1.2M/year
 - 23% of gross income
- Net Operating Income: \$4.0M

Туре		Le	ase Rate		Total R	leve	enue	% of Total
		р	ermonth		Monthly		Annual	
REVENUE								
Rental Income	205 units	\$	2.066	per unit	\$ 423.576	\$	5.082.909	94.1% of PGI
Studio	1 units	\$	1,326	per unit	\$ 1,326	\$	15,908	0.3% of PGI
Studio	11 units	\$	1,515	per unit	\$ 16,666	\$	199,991	3.7% of PGI
1-Bedroom	9 units	\$	1,624	per unit	\$ 14,613	\$	175,357	3.2% of PGI
1-Bedroom	157 units	\$	2,030	per unit	\$ 318,646	\$	3,823,753	70.8% of PGI
2-Bedroom	27 units	\$	2,679	per unit	\$ 72,325	\$	867,900	16.1% of PGI
Other Income					\$ 26,740	\$	320,877	5.9% of PGI
Other Income ^[1]					\$ 8,020	\$	96,237	1.8% of PGI
Parking ^[2]	144 units	\$	130.00	per space	\$ 18,720	\$	224,640	4.2% of PGI
POTENTIAL GROSS INCOME (PGI)					\$ 450,316	\$	5,403,786	100.0% of PGI
Net Rental Income					\$ (21,179)	\$	(254,145)	-4.7% of PGI
Less: Residential Vacancy	5.00% per year				\$ (21,179)	\$	(254,145)	-4.7% of PGI
EFFECTIVE GROSS INCOME (EGI)					\$ 429,137	\$	5,149,641	95.3% of PGI
EXPENDITURES								
Variable Expenses		\$	2,785	per unit	\$ (47,575)	\$	(570,897)	11.1% of EGI
Payroll	205 units	\$	1,379	per unit	\$ (23,561)	\$	(282,730)	5.5% of EGI
Utilities	205 units	\$	265	per unit	\$ (4,531)	\$	(54,371)	1.1% of EGI
Maintenance	205 units	\$	743	per unit	\$ (12,687)	\$	(152,239)	3.0% of EGI
Marketing	205 units	\$	133	per unit	\$ (2,265)	\$	(27,186)	0.5% of EGI
Administrative	205 units	\$	265	per unit	\$ (4,531)	\$	(54,371)	1.1% of EGI
Non-Variable Expenses		\$	2,957	per unit	\$ (50,513)	\$	(606,162)	11.8% of EGI
Property Taxes	205 units	\$	1,505	per unit	\$ (25,716)	\$	(308,593)	6.0% of EGI
Insurance	205 units	\$	451	per unit	\$ (7,703)	\$	(92,431)	1.8% of EGI
Replacement Reserves	205 units	\$	265	per unit	\$ (4,531)	\$	(54,371)	1.1% of EGI
Management Fee	2.9% of EGI	\$	735	per unit	\$ (12,564)	\$	(150,767)	2.9% of EGI
TOTAL EXPENDITURES		\$	5,742	per unit	\$ (98,088)	\$	(1,177,059)	22.9% of EGI
NET OPERATING INCOME (NOI)					\$ 331,049	\$	3,972,582	77.1% of EGI

STATIC PERFORMANCE: WITH AND WITHOUT TIF

LOWELL COMMONS

- Target hurdle rate: 6.33% YOC
 - NOI/Cost
- In order to achieve that hurdle rate, net project costs need to be reduced by \$2.4M
- Current costs are estimated at \$65.1M
- The present value of future TIF revenue is estimated at \$2.9M
- With this amount, the project achieves a YOC of 6.38%

HURDLE RATE ACTUAL YIELD ON COST (YOC)	[6.33% 6.10%
NET DEV. COST TARGET ESTIMATED DEVELOPMENT COST GAP: COST		\$ <u>\$</u> \$	62,758,013 65,096,709 2,338,696
PERFORMANCE WITH SUBSIDY			
Net Operating Income		\$	3,972,582
Project Cost			
Total Development Cost		\$	65,096,709
Less: Present Value of Property TIF		\$	2,878,263
Net Cost		\$	62,218,446
YIELD ON COST (YOC) WITH SUBSIDY			6.38%

TIF REVENUE: PROPERTY TAX INCREMENT



Source: Economic & Planning Systems

CITY IMPACT

ECONOMIC & PLANNING SYSTEMS

CITY PROPERTY TAX IMPACT

- Current mill levy of 3.579
- 100% of the increment will be pledged to the project
- City will continue to receive base valuation
 - About \$2,500 in 2025
- After the 25-year period, the City will receive about \$42,400 annually due to the development of Lowell-Bristow

Year_	Plan Year	Bas <u>e</u>	Increment	T <u>ota</u>
			1-Yr. Lag	
2025	1	\$2,515	\$0	\$2,51
2026	2	\$2,515	\$0	\$2,51
2027	3	\$2,565	\$4,515	\$7,08
2028	4	\$2,565	\$17,670	\$20,23
2029	5	\$2,617	\$25,173	\$27,79
2030	6	\$2,617	\$25,942	\$28,55
2031	7	\$2,669	\$25,942	\$28,61
2032	8	\$2,669	\$27,044	\$29,71
2033	9	\$2,722	\$27,044	\$29,76
2034	10	\$2,722	\$28,191	\$30,91
2035	11	\$2,777	\$28,191	\$30,96
2036	12	\$2,777	\$29,385	\$32,16
2037	13	\$2,832	\$29,385	\$32,21
2038	14	\$2,832	\$30,629	\$33,46
2039	15	\$2,889	\$30,629	\$33,51
2040	16	\$2,889	\$31,924	\$34,81
2041	17	\$2,947	\$31,924	\$34,87
2042	18	\$2,947	\$33,273	\$36,21
2043	19	\$3,006	\$33,273	\$36,27
2044	20	\$3,006	\$34,677	\$37,68
2045	21	\$3,066	\$34,677	\$37,74
2046	22	\$3,066	\$36,139	\$39,20
2047	23	\$3,127	\$36,139	\$39,26
2048	24	\$3,127	\$37,662	\$40,78
2049	25	\$3,190	\$37,662	\$40,85
Fotal		\$70,653	\$677,088	\$747,74
Future	Tax Revenue			
2050		\$3 190	\$39,247	\$42.43

Source: Economic & Planning Systems

SUMMARY

- Supports COS Comprehensive Plan goals and Affordable and Attainable Housing Plan strategies
- "But For" Project Gap
 - Given the inclusion of the cost of eligible benefits and affordable rents, there is a gap, which fulfills the "but for" case
 - Eligible costs total \$5.9 million
 - All units restricted to 70%-110% AMI
 - Static proforma identifies a gap closure target of approximately \$13.4 million for both phases
 - Project TIF revenues will be approximately \$5.3 million for both phases