



Legislation Details (With Text)

File #: 22-709 **Version:** 3 **Name:**
Type: Ordinance **Status:** Mayor's Office
File created: 10/17/2022 **In control:** City Council
On agenda: 11/22/2022 **Final action:** 11/22/2022

Title: City Council Consideration of the Mayor's Disapproval by veto of Ordinance No. 22-86 creating a five (5) month moratorium on the enforcement of certain public health code violations related to keeping more than two (2) hoofed pets per household or dwelling

Presenter:
Randy Helms, President Pro Tem, Councilmember District 2
Nancy Henjum, Councilmember District 5

Sponsors:

Indexes:

Code sections:

Attachments: 1. Mayor's Disapproval by Veto of Ordinance 22-86, 2. GoatEnforcementMoratorium_fivemonths, 3. GoatEnforcementMoratoriumORD-2022-10-17 Final, 4. Signed Ordinance No. 22-86

Date	Ver.	Action By	Action	Result
11/22/2022	2	City Council	finally passed	Pass
11/8/2022	1	City Council	finally passed	Pass
10/25/2022	1	City Council	approved on first reading	Pass
10/24/2022	1	Council Work Session	referred	

City Council Consideration of the Mayor's Disapproval by veto of Ordinance No. 22-86 creating a five (5) month moratorium on the enforcement of certain public health code violations related to keeping more than two (2) hoofed pets per household or dwelling

Presenter:

Randy Helms, President Pro Tem, Councilmember District 2
Nancy Henjum, Councilmember District 5

Summary:

City Council intends to study the issues created by keeping hoofed pets in order to determine whether new public health and sanitation code requirements should be adopted; and in order to temporarily maintain the status quo for residents who may be keeping more than two (2) hoofed pets, and to give City Council time to study the issues, Council believes it is necessary to implement a moratorium on enforcement of public health code violations related to keeping more than two (2) hoofed pets per household or dwelling.

Background:

N/A

Previous Council Action:

N/A

Financial Implications:

N/A

City Council Appointed Board/Commission/Committee Recommendation:

N/A

Stakeholder Process:

N/A

Alternatives:

N/A

Proposed Motion:

To approve the ordinance as presented

N/A