

City of Colorado Springs

City Hall 107 N. Nevada Avenue Colorado Springs, CO 80903

Legislation Details (With Text)

File #: CPC AP 20-

P 20- **Version**: 2

Name: 506 Hawthorne

00096

Type: Planning Case

Status: Failed

In control:

File created: 7/1/2020

City Council

On agenda: 8/25/2020

Final action: 8/25/2020

Title: A

An appeal of the Planning Commission's decision to uphold the administrative denial of a single-family

site plan for 506 Hawthorne Place.

(Quasi-Judicial)

Related Files: CPC AP 20-00096

Presenter:

Lonna Thelen, Principal Planner, Planning and Community Development

Peter Wysocki, Director, Planning and Community Development

Sponsors:

Indexes: Appeals

Code sections:

Attachments: 1. CC_Appeal Statement, 2. Aerial Vicinity Map, 3. Vicinity Map, 4. 506 Hawthorne - Staff presentation

_LJT, 5. CPC Staff Report_506 Hawthorne, 6. Site Plan, 7. Appeal Statement, 8. Geologic Hazard Waiver Form, 9. Landslide Susceptibility Layer, 10. 2017 PPRBD code, 11. Vision Map, 12. 506

Appeal PowerPoint 7-16-2020, 13. Public Hearing Comments-CPC AP 20-00096, 14.

CPC_Minutes_506Hawthorne_draft, 15. 7.2.201 Site Plan Definition, 16. 7.5.302 Building Permits, 17. 7.4.502 Geologic Hazard Applicability, 18. 7.4.503 Exemption & Waivers, 19. 7.5.906 (B) Appeal

Date	Ver.	Action By	Action	Result
8/25/2020	2	City Council	approved	Pass
8/25/2020	2	City Council	denied	
7/16/2020	1	Planning Commission	approved	Pass

An appeal of the Planning Commission's decision to uphold the administrative denial of a single-family site plan for 506 Hawthorne Place.

(Quasi-Judicial)

Related Files: CPC AP 20-00096

Presenter:

Lonna Thelen, Principal Planner, Planning and Community Development Peter Wysocki, Director, Planning and Community Development

Summary:

Applicant: Michael Lowery
Owner: Michael Lowery

File #: CPC AP 20-00096, Version: 2

Location: 506 Hawthorne

This project is an appeal of the administrative denial of the site plan for construction of a new single-family home for non-compliance with City Code Chapter 7, Article 4, Site Development Standards, Part 5 Geological Hazard Study and Mitigation. Specifically, the property owner did not submit a geologic hazards report or geologic hazards waiver as required for properties west of Interstate 25 per City Code 7.4.5.

While the site plan is in conformance with the required development standards for the R1-6000 zone district, the site plan was denied because the submittal did not comply with City Code requirement for submittal of a geologic hazard report or submittal of a geologic hazard report waiver. The site is located at 506 Hawthorne Place, contains 9,198 square feet and is zoned R-1 6000.

Background:

Prior to any single-family residential home being constructed, a building permit is required to be submitted and approved by Pikes Peak Regional Building Department (PPRBD). The building permit and construction review is sent to the Development Review Enterprise for a review to ensure compliance with City Zoning standards. This review includes, but is not limited to, review for building height, setbacks, lot coverage, zone district, and use allowance. If a property is west of I-25 or in a landslide susceptible or mine susceptible area and a geologic hazard report was not previously approved, a geologic hazard report is required to be submitted in conjunction with the site plan (City Code 7.4.5 - Geological Hazard Study and Mitigation).

A site plan was previously submitted and reviewed for a nonuse variance to allow a 13-foot front yard setback where 25 feet is required per City Code 7.3.104. This site plan was specifically reviewed and approved to allow the structure to be moved forward due to site constraints. Staff did not require a geologic hazard report during this review because that was not a requirement of the nonuse variance submittal.

When staff reviewed the site plan submitted with this application, staff found the items listed below were not provided as part of the submittal. If the appeal is upheld, staff recommends these items be required to be provided by the applicant prior to building permit approval.

- 1. Building elevations that meet the overall height allowance for the zone district.
- 2. Confirmation that the building footprint layout matches the structural drawings provided to PPRBD. This footprint must meet all building setbacks.

A geologic hazard report is required unless the site qualifies for an exemption per City Code Section 7.4.503.A, or provides and receives approval of a geologic hazard waiver per 7.4.503.B and 7.4.503.C. The geologic hazard waiver form (see "Geologic Hazard Waiver" attachment) specifically requires a professional geologist or professional geotechnical engineer to fill out the form and provide a letter stating that 7.4.503.A 2, 3 and 4 noted below are not exhibited on site.

- 7.4.503.A. Exempt Lands: Those lands lying east of Interstate Highway 25 are presumed to be exempted from the requirements of this part unless the owner, applicant or City staff is aware of the existence of any of the following characteristics on the property:
- 1. Land lying within the hillside area (HS) overlay zone or the streamside (SS) overlay zone or with a 100-year floodplain or any Potential Landslide Susceptibility and Mine Subsidence map published by the Colorado Geological Survey.

- 2. Slopes (existing or proposed) exceeding thirty three percent (33%) or which are otherwise unstable or potentially unstable.
- 3. Underground mining or subsidence activity.
- 4. A history of a landfill or uncontrolled or undocumented fill activity.
- 5. Other geologic hazards which pose a risk to the proposed project, other than seismicity, radiation (radon), compressible soils, shallow water table or springs, expansive soils or expansive bedrock which can be mitigated with standard foundation design/construction practices.
- B. Waivers: The Manager, in consultation with written approval of the City Engineer, may waive the requirement for the submittal of a geological hazard study on a property that is not otherwise excluded or exempted from the provisions of this part for the following:
- 1. Master plans, development plans or subdivision plats for which geologic hazard reports have been previously prepared and reviewed and which are still considered to be relevant.
- 2. Development proposals located west of Interstate Highway 25 which exhibit none of the characteristics listed within subsections A2 through A4 of this section.
- C. Waiver Request: To obtain a waiver, the applicant shall submit a waiver request, which states the project meets the above noted criteria, and is prepared by a professional geologist or geotechnical engineer, who is qualified in accord with section 7.4.504 find=7.4.504 of this part. (Ord. 96-74; Ord. 01-42; Ord. 11-72; Ord. 17-26)

Staff communicated the requirements for the site plan and geologic hazard report or geologic hazard waiver to the applicant via both a phone conversation and emails to the applicant to ensure that all requirements were clearly understood prior to this appeal moving forward. The applicant understood the requirements and decided not to submit a geologic hazard report or geologic hazard waiver.

Staff relies on the applicant to provide the documentation for the submittal of either the geologic hazard report or the geologic hazard waiver. In this case, no documentation was submitted. Since the site was appealed to City Planning Commission, staff contacted the Colorado Geological Survey and City Engineering staff to assist in better explaining why a geologic hazard report is required for this site. The following information was provided as a general review of the site **and is NOT to be considered a formal geologic hazard review of the site**.

- The site is underlain by the Pierre Shale (at the surface) and has high swell potential. The potential for swell should be evaluated with laboratory tests of samples obtained from drilling.
- Corrosive soils are typically associated with the Pierre Shale. Corrosion to concrete should be evaluated in typical lab tests.
- The site is in a mapped landslide susceptibility zone (see "Landslide Susceptibility Layer" attachment).
- The slope is considered potentially unstable unless proved otherwise. (The Pierre Shale can slide on slopes less steep than this one). Development (and site grading) can change the dynamics of slope stability (increased loads, decreased support by cuts, increased moisture content, etc.
- The slopes in the site appear steeper than 3:1 or 18-degrees (it is generally recommended that slopes not be constructed steeper than 3:1). The slope adjacent to the public street is very steep. For about first 10 feet, the slope drops off approximately at a 1:1 or 45 degrees then the lot is drops off at approximately a 3:1 to 4:1 slope or 18 to 14 degrees.
- Site erosion must be managed due to the bedrock and soils derived from it.
- A full geologic hazard report is required along with a slope stability analysis to properly identify

the geologic hazards, such as expansive soils, failure planes, slope creep, and unstable slopes that may exists on the site and provide mitigation recommendations for those hazards. The geologic hazard report will provide the analysis and construction recommendations to assure the earthwork on the lot, and the construction of the house do not destabilize the slope and cause structural damage or failure to the public street and the proposed house. It will also provide design recommendation that the geotechnical engineering company will utilize to properly design the retaining walls that will structurally support Hawthorn Place and foundation design for the house.

In addition to discussing this site with CGS and City Engineering, staff also discussed this site with PPRBD staff to determine what would be required at building permit from PPRBD. Per the 2017 Pikes Peak Regional Building Code (see "2017 PPRBD code" attachment) section R401.1.1, a design professional is required for foundation systems unless one of the exceptions is met. In this case, the exceptions are not met and a design professional is required for the foundation system. In addition, per R401.1 a soil test is also required to determine the soil's characteristics for the building. This report is required to be provided by a design professional licensed by the State of Colorado.

Based on the information provided in City Code and the 2017 Pikes Peak Regional Building Code and the information provided by CGS staff, City Engineering staff and PPRBD staff, this site is required to have a geologic hazard report and a soils study.

The appellant argues that there are three main issues with being required to providing a geologic hazard report or geologic hazard wavier in his appeal statement (see "Appeal Statement" attachment). The three issues are that not all homes in the landslide susceptibility zone exhibit conditions that should require a geologic hazard report, there are issues getting drilling samples onsite due to the steep terrain and the cost to do a geologic hazard report is exorbitant and adds to the construction costs.

The landslide susceptibility area was created to identify the general areas where geologic hazard concerns could exist. Not all homes west of 1-25 are required to do a full geologic hazard report, as mentioned above, if qualified under the geologic hazard waiver. In this case, the applicant states on page 3 of the appeal statement that neither Entech or RMG (two geotechnical firms that often submit geologic hazard reports to the City for review) would discuss a geologic hazard waiver and that a quote (provided below) for a geologic hazard report was given. This backs the staff discussion previously explaining that a geologic hazard report is required due to subsurface concerns.

The applicant notes that the drilling rigs that are required for the geologic hazard report are not able to traverse the slope down into the site; therefore, a road that is built to a slope of 15 degrees or less would be required to access the site. The applicant asserts that this road is an additional cost to the construction of the site. Staff believes that the road could be placed in the same location as the applicant was proposing the driveway to the garage as depicted on the site plan and if done properly could be used for both the drilling and the long term access into the site.

The applicant estimates that the cost for the permit, including infrastructure fee (\$25,000), geologic hazard report (\$7,500) and temporary driveway (\$12,000) is \$44,500. The applicant believes these fees are exorbitant. The permit fee would be charged even if a geologic hazard report was not required and the applicant would still need to build a driveway into the site; therefore, the only additional fee is the \$7,500. This cost to do a geologic hazard report provides the needed details to determine if this lot is buildable and if there are potential long term concerns with building on this lot. All the standard reasons for requiring a geologic hazard report are apparent with this site, as

File #: CPC AP 20-00096, Version: 2

discussed previously, and the per the City Geologic Hazard Code, the City is required to apply these requirements.

Staff finds that the appeal of the site plan for 504 Hawthorne does not meet the appeal review criteria set forth in City Code Section 7.5.906.A.4 and therefore the appeal should be denied.

As a supplement to this memo, please see the details of the proposed development, staff's analysis of the review criteria, and breakdown of the comprehensive plan in the City Planning Commission Staff Report.

Previous Council Action:

N/A

Financial Implications:

N/A

City Council Appointed Board/Commission/Committee Recommendation:

At their meeting on July 16, 2020, the Planning Commission unanimously voted 7-0 to deny the appeal (Aye: Hente, McMurray, Graham, Almy, Rickett, Wilson, and McDonald). Commissioners Hente and Rickett noted that it appeared the appellant was making policy arguments against City Code requirements and that it is not in the Planning Commission's purview to change policy or amend City Code vis-a-vis an appeal process. The neighbor at 504 Hawthorne Place, adjacent to 506 Hawthorne, spoke at City Planning Commission. He raised concerns regarding the steep slope on the site, the lot shape, soil conditions, disruption to the drainage patterns on the site and supported the denial of the site plan.

Please reference the minutes from the hearing for a detailed record.

Stakeholder Process:

No public notice was provided during the initial review of the site plan. Site plans are not required to have public notice as they are typically submitted with the building permit submittal to Pikes Peak Regional Building. The site was noticed prior to the City Planning Commission hearing for the appeal and the site will be noticed prior to the City Council hearing for the appeal. The notice will be provided to 68 property owners within a 500-foot buffer of the site notifying the adjacent property owners of the appeal.

No internal review agencies reviewed the site plan; the only review completed was by Development Review Enterprise (DRE) staff. When geologic hazard reports are submitted, Colorado Geological Survey and City Engineering review these documents. Because the applicant is appealing the requirement to submit the geologic hazard report and no report was submitted, Colorado Geological Survey and City Engineering did not review the plans.

Alternatives:

- 1. Uphold the action of the City Planning Commission;
- 2. Modify the decision of the City Planning Commission;
- 3. Reverse the action of the City Planning Commission; or
- 4. Refer the matter back to the City Planning Commission for further consideration

Proposed Motion:

File #: CPC AP 20-00096, Version: 2

CPC AP 20-00096

Deny the appeal, thus upholding the City Planning Commission's denial of the site plan for 506 Hawthorne Place, based upon the findings that the appeal does not meet the appeal criteria set forth in City Code Section 7.5.906.B and the geologic hazard code requirements set forth in City Code Section 7.4.502

N/A