



Legislation Details (With Text)

File #: CPC AP 20-00021 **Version:** 1 **Name:**

Type: Planning Case **Status:** Passed

File created: 1/30/2020 **In control:** City Planning Commission

On agenda: 2/20/2020 **Final action:** 2/20/2020

Title: An appeal of a hillside site plan allowing a garage addition 12-feet 3-inches from the southern side yard property boundary, located west of Marland Road, south of the Broadmoor Golf Course at 100 South Marland Road.

(Quasi-Judicial)

Presenter:
Kerri Schott, Planner I, Planning & Community Development

Sponsors:

Indexes: Appeals

Code sections:

Attachments: 1. CPC Staff Report - 100 S Marland Appeal, 2. FIGURE 1 Approved Hillside Site Plan_100 S Marland, 3. FIGURE 2 100 S Marland Appeal, 4. FIGURE 3 Appelant's Review Comments, 5. FIGURE 4 Applicant response to neighbor concern, 6. FIGURE 5 Fire Mitigation Letter, 7. FIGURE 6 Garage Height, 8. FIGURE 7 Utility Encroachment License, 9. FIGURE 8 Annexation 100 S Marland.pdf, 10. FIGURE 9-a View from existing driveway, 11. FIGURE 9-b View from existing driveway, 12. FIGURE 10 Proposed tree plantings, 13. FIGURE 11 View from neighbors private access road, 14. FIGURE 12-a Trees to remain, 15. Figure 12-b Trees to remain, 16. FIGURE 13 Grading Erosion Control Plan, 17. FIGURE 14 Plan COS Vibrant Neighborhood Map.pdf, 18. 7.5.906 (A)(4) Administrative Appeal.docx, 19. 7.3.504.D.3 Hillside Dev Submittal Pkg, 20. 7.3.104.A Agricultural-Residential-Spec Use-Traditional Neighborhood zone standards

Date	Ver.	Action By	Action	Result
2/20/2020	1	City Planning Commission	approved	Pass

An appeal of a hillside site plan allowing a garage addition 12-feet 3-inches from the southern side yard property boundary, located west of Marland Road, south of the Broadmoor Golf Course at 100 South Marland Road.

(Quasi-Judicial)

Presenter:

Kerri Schott, Planner I, Planning & Community Development

Proposed Motion:

CPC AP 20-00021

Deny the appeal and uphold the administrative approval of the hillside site plan, based on the finding that the appellant has not substantiated that the appeal satisfies the review criteria outlined in City Code Section 7.5.906.A.4, and that the hillside site plan meets the review criteria for the hillside overlay in City Code Section 7.3.504.D.3 and the R Estate development standards as outlined in City Code Section 7.3.104.A