ISSUES FOR DECISION 2016 RATE CASES HEARING NOVEMBER 24, 2015

The following are the issues for decision that will be presented at the conclusion of the November 24, 2015 rate case hearing. City Council will be asked the questions and based on the answers, the City Attorney's Office – Utilities Division will prepare the Decisions and Orders which will be presented to City Council for final approval. The questions are pulled directly from Colorado Springs Utilities rate filing, specifically the included resolutions and service specific reports.

Electric Service:

- 1. Is an increase to the non-fuel revenues of \$15.7 million appropriate for the 2016 rate case test-year period?
- 2. Utilities proposed base rate and general changes to the Electric Service Rate Schedules for nineteen (19) rates. Should those schedules be revised as proposed? (Relevant rates listed for reference.)
 - a) Residential Service
 - b) Commercial Service Small
 - c) Commercial Service General
 - d) Commercial Service General ETC
 - e) Industrial Service Time-of-Day Transmission Voltage
 - f) Industrial Service Time-of-Day 1,000 KWH/Day Minimum
 - g) Industrial Service Time-of-Day 500 KWh/Day Minimum
 - h) Industrial Service Time-of-Day 4,000 KWh Minimum
 - i) Industrial Service Large Power and Light
 - j) Contract Service Traffic Signals
 - k) Contract Service Street Lighting
 - 1) Contract Service ECD
 - m) Electric Cost Adjustment
 - n) Electric Capacity Charge
 - o) Totalization Service
 - p) Enhanced Power Service
 - q) Community Solar Garden Bill Credit (Pilot Program)
 - r) Community Solar Garden Program
 - s) Wind Power
- 3. Should Utilities remove the optional Kilowatcher program as of April 1, 2016 from the Industrial Service Time-of-Day Service 1,000 kWh/Day Minimum, Industrial Service Time-of-Day Service 500 kW Minimum, Industrial Service Time-of-Day Service 4,000 kW Minimum, and Contract Service?

- 4. Should Utilities modify the Residential the Time-of-Day tariff?
- 5. Should Utilities modify the Electric Cost Adjustment as presented in Utilities' rate filing?
- 6. Should Utilities remove the Woody Biomass option to the Contract Service tariff due to program completion as of June 30, 2016 and no current Customer participation?
- 7. Should Utilities make changes to the Totalization Service tariff?
- 8. Should Utilities increase the Reserved Capacity Charge incurred by Enhanced Power Customers for the fourth year in a five year phase in process which will bring this rate to full cost?
- 9. Should Utilities remove the Renewable Energy Certificates tariff due to its completion?
- 10. Should Utilities revise contract termination rights of the Industrial Service Large Power & Light and Industrial Service Time-of-Day Service Winter / Summer Non-Demand Option?
- 11. Should Utilities remove the American Recovery and Reinvestment Act of 2009 related USAFA specific contract construction services due to the completion of all applicable work and payment obligations as contractually executed with customer?
- 12. Should Utilities update the USAFA charges on the Contract Service EINFPRS tariff?
- 13. Should Utilities change the Customer Bill Credit for the Community Solar Garden Bill Credit Program (Pilot Program)?
- 14. Should Utilities change the Customer Bill Credit for the Community Solar Garden Program?
- 15. Should Utilities clarify terms and conditions of the Wind Power tariff?

Natural Gas Service:

- 1. Should Utilities modify the Gas Cost Adjustment as presented in Utilities' rate filing?
- 2. Should Utilities set the reconfigured Gas Cost Adjustment, containing all natural gas fuel costs, at \$0.2126 per hundred cubic feet (Ccf), a net decrease to the current rates?
- 3. Should Utilities remove the American Recovery and Reinvestment Act of 2009 related USAFA specific contract construction services due to the completion of all applicable work and payment obligations as contractually executed with customer?

- 4. Should Utilities revise the contract termination rights of the Commercial Service Firm Seasonal Option and the Industrial Service Interruptible Sales?
- 5. Should Utilities modify qualifications to the Commercial Firm Seasonal Option?
- 6. Should Utilities revise the nomination times for the Industrial Transportation Service Firm in order to comply with new Federal Energy Regulatory Commission guidelines effective April 1, 2016?
- 7. Should Utilities remove the Industrial Service Interruptible Sales Daily Index Option?

Water Service:

- 1. Is an increase to the Water Service revenues of \$9.0 million appropriate for the 2016 rate case test-year period?
- 2. Utilities proposed base rate and general changes to the Water Service Rate Schedules for ten (10) rates. Should those schedules be revised as proposed? (Relevant rates listed for reference.)
 - a) Residential Service Inside City Limits
 - b) Nonresidential Service Inside City Limits
 - c) Residential Service Outside City Limits
 - d) Nonresidential Service Outside City Limits
 - e) Contract Service
 - f) Temporary Service Hydrant Use
 - g) Miscellaneous Service Augmentation
 - h) Contract Service Nonpotable
 - i) Large Non-Seasonal Service
 - j) Water Shortage Tariff
- 3. Should Utilities establish the Large Nonseasonal Service as a permanent rate and revise the contract termination rights within it?
- 4. Should Utilities remove the large Potable Irrigator Water Conservation Rate Pilot Program?

Utilities Rules and Regulations:

- 1. Should Utilities add clarifying tariff language around the Electric Plan Review Fee?
- 2. Should Utilities change the address for dispute resolution to the general Utilities address?

- 3. Should Utilities allow totalization of primary and secondary meters when meters reside on the same campus?
- 4. Should Utilities increase the amounts collected through Contributions in Aid of Construction in the Electric and Natural Gas distribution system?
- 5. Should Utilities change language regarding Water permit fees to be consistent with the Wastewater permit fees?
- 6. Should Utilities add clarifying tariff language on applicable Development Charges regarding multi-family and master metered multi-family charges?
- 7. Should Utilities add tariff language to allow a limited transfer of unused Development Charge Credits from a vacant parcel to another parcel under the same ownership?