ConnectCOS TRANSPORTATION PLAN FOR A MOBILE COMMUNITY

City Council Presentation March 14, 2023



ConnectCOS Transportation Plan



What it is:

- Citywide analysis of needs relative to goals
- Identifies "Big Rock" Investments and Strategies
- Targets Key Themes
- Recommends Actions and Strategies
- Defines modal networks
- Adopted by ordinance
 - Major Thoroughfare Plan

What it isn't:

- Granular enough to be prescriptive
- Not just a project list or a 20-year prioritized and funded program

ConnectCOS Transportation Plan



Provides

- 20-year look ahead
- Goal-determined needs
- Unconstrained Response to Needs (Projects and other Action)

Limits


- Should be updated in 5-7 years to address changes
 - Funding
 - Priorities
 - Technologies
- Needs remain until addressed or goals change

Public Engagement



- Community Advisory Committee
- Stakeholders
- Public

How important is it for the people of Colorado Springs to have transportation choices?



Engagement Activity Level of Community Engagement CAC Meetings 8 Stakeholder Interviews and Follow-up Meetings 21

Strengths & Weaknesses	1700 responses
Community Survey using	600 map-based
Social Pinpoint	comments

Virtual Public Meeting 1	Nearly 150
Threath abile meeting i	participants

Virtual Community Office	60 p	artici	pants	ove
Hours	4 00	aaian		

Community Survey using MetroQuest	800+ responses
	N

Virtual Public Meeting 2	Nearly 130
vii taar i abiio iiiooiiiig =	participants

Digital Comment Card	44	resp	onses
-----------------------------	----	------	-------

In-person Public Ope	n
Houses by Council Distric	t

6

Technical Analysis



- Goal Framework
- Review
- Development of potential projects
- Project evaluation
- ConnectCOS and PPRTA Outcomes

How do we improve the system?



Safe

Equitable

Sustainable

Efficiently Reliable

Accessible

Connected

Crashes

Emergency Response

Work Zones

Personal Safety

Appropriate to Need

Distributed Investments

Context Specific

Economy

Environment

Quality of Life

Reliable Travel Times

People Capacity

Good Repair

Intuitive

Comfortable

Seamless Modal Connections Desired Land Use

Neighborhoods

Activity Centers

Regional Economy

- Assess where the system is not meeting goal expectations (Needs)
- Identify actions that would generate high return in performance (Solutions)

Modal Networks




- Roads
- Transit
- Active Modes (Bike, Pedestrian)

Major Thoroughfare Plan (MT


The MTP is part of City code:

- Part of the codified Intermodal Transportation Plan that governs how the City operates and how it grows and develops.
- Guides the development of appropriately sized transportation facilities to serve the needs of the community as development occurs by:
 - Directing transportation design standards
 - Identifying right-of-way that needs to be preserved for transportation facilities as growth occurs or areas that may be annexed in the future.




Transit Vision Network (TVN)

- Next Level Transit
 - Enhanced Transit
 - Transit facilities
- Communicate intent
- Direct future studies




Active Transportation Network

- Establish functional network for Bikes and Pedestrians
 - Off-Street
 - On-Street dedicated
- Communicate intent
- Direct future studies



ConnectCOS – The Plan









Plan Outline



- Purpose
- Engagement
- Vision and Goals
- Network Assessment
- Citywide Needs and Strategies
 - Regional connections
 - Developing Technology
 - Right of Way Allocation
 - Maintenance
 - Travel Demand Management

Recommendations

- Projects
- Modal Networks
 - Major Thoroughfare Plan
 - Truck Routes and Freight
 - Transit Vision Network
 - Active Transportation Network
- Implementation and Next Steps
 - Funding
 - Future Planning

Comments on the Draft Plan



- 174 comments submitted through the website
 - 161 during the formal comment period
 - 13 after the close of the formal comment period
- 252 comments emailed to the project email
 - 122 during the formal comment period
 - 130 after the close of the formal comment period
- 426 total comments

Quotes from Draft Plan



A Range of Comments

"This Plan continues the charade that transit/bicycle/pedestrian improvements solve the traffic issues/congestion for the future."

"Unfortunately, the document is heavily focused on motorized transportation, in particular automobile traffic."

"Why have you rubber-stamped subdivision after subdivision knowing full well the traffic nightmare it would cause?!?!"

Key Themes



- Prioritize citywide network connectivity including E/W
- Historic Neighborhood Preservation
- Support for multimodal travel to help reduce congestion
 - Conflicting views on where this should be done in the city
- Interest in regional passenger rail
- Support for increased bicycle facilities and safety features
 - Concern about bicycle lanes being on congested roadways citing safety and traffic issues
 - Desire to ensure current facilities are maintained and improved
 - Desire for new facilities to focus on increasing connectivity safely

Key Themes (continued)



- Support for enhanced transit service throughout the city
 - Getting downtown from various sectors of the city
 - Additional services besides bus transit (light-rail, subsidized rideshare)
- Desire to preserve community character
 - Concerns on widening North Nevada
 - Concerns about Constitution extending to I-25
- Desire for a better understanding of what happens next (when a project is funded)

Changes from DRAFT Plan



- Refinements based on feedback from public and CTAB
 - North Nevada Corridor
 - East West Mobility
 - Reference Vision Zero style goals for safety (CTAB Recommendation)

North Nevada Corridor Changes



North Nevada Safety Study Project #158

- Major Thoroughfare Plan Change
- Additional Project
 Guidance developed with neighborhood

North Nevada Transit Project #143


- Nevada/Weber Enhanced Transit Corridor Feasibility, Planning and Design
- Changed from implementation to planning, environmental clearance, funding and functional assessment through public process

The project will:	The project will not:
Be conducted through a public process in partnership with affected neighborhoods	Consider an alternative of more than two traffic travel lanes in each direction
Evaluate, identify, and implement improvements to enhance safety, mitigate traffic speeds through consideration of a full spectrum of traffic calming strategies	Consider an alternative that impacts existing medians and trees or reduces or eliminates parking or driveways except as necessary to implement accepted strategies
Implement design characteristics consistent with traffic operations of 30 mph or lower.	Eliminate or reduces school safety zones
Enhance walkability including street crossings for pedestrian and cycling safety	Forcefully acquire additional right of way
Be consistent with the ConnectCOS Goal framework, PlanCOS guidance including the Urban Core Street Typology, and in pursuit of the equitable distribution of non-local traffic to the entire arterial street grid as described in the ONEN Master Plan adopted by City	"Kick the can down the road", but will recommend a specific way forward that averts future revisits of the same discussion
Ordinance in 1991.	18

Central COS East-West Mobility Study



- Project #105-Recommended Study
 - Improve mobility for all modes
 - Add transit functionality in travelshed
 - Consider alternatives other than widening in constrained ROW
 - Potential uses for rail ROW while maintaining Rock Island Trail/Legacy Loop



Central COS East-West Mobility Study



- Staff Recommended Changes from Continued Engagement
 - Town Hall Meetings

Project #	Original Name	Limits	Original Description
105	Constitution Ave Feasibility Study - I-25 to Union Blvd	I-25 - Union Blvd	Conduct study to determine the feasibility of extending Constitution Ave from Union Blvd west to I-25 as a limited access, multi-modal roadway while minimizing neighborhood and school impacts
	New Name		New Description
105	Central Colorado Springs E-W Mobility Study - I-25 to Powers Blvd	I-25 - Powers Blvd	Conduct study to determine multimodal strategies for improving east -west mobility while prioritizing the value of existing neighborhoods within the study area bounded by I-25 on the west, Powers Blvd to the east and including the Fillmore Street and Uintah Street corridors. Consider regional influences of planned projects and updated information from the Transit Vision Network and future approved updates to the Regional Transit Plan and Regional Travel Demand Model.
			Alternatives may only consider the use of any

CTAB Specific Recommendation

Constitution extension for transit or non-motorized travel, alternatives that propose uses for car and truck travel lanes will not be considered.

ConnectCOS Plan and Planning Commission



- Planning Commission Recommended Approval with Staff recommended changes from Draft Plan
 - Changes to Central COS East-West Mobility Study Project #105
 - Removal of Project #106 Constitution Extension Preliminary Engineering Study
 - Changes to North Nevada Safety and Transit Projects #143 and #158
 - Addition of "Vision Zero" principles for Safety based on CTAB Recommendation
- Commission discussed but approved motion <u>did not</u> include CTAB Recommendation

Central COS East-West Mobility Study



Council directed changes

Project #105

Project #	New Name		New Description
105	Central Colorado Springs E-W Mobility Study - I-25 to Powers Blvd	I-25 - Powers Blvd	Conduct a study to determine multimodal strategies for improving east-west mobility while prioritizing the value of existing neighborhoods within the study area bounded by I-25 on the west, Powers Blvd to the east, and including of the Fillmore Street and Uintah Street corridors. The study will not consider an extension of Constitution Avenue between Paseo and I25. The study will consider regional influences of planned projects and updated information from the Transit Vision Network and future approved updates to the Regional Transit Plan and Regional Travel Demand Model.


Other Action

The railroad right of way should only be considered for use as an urban greenway and as an active transportation corridor continuing its highly valued function in the Legacy Loop trail system. Council further directs that actions be taken to encourage the railroad right of way become part of the City's park system.

ConnectCOS Recommended Projects



Needs-based Project Summary



Critical Corridor	# Projects	# Segments
Academy	10	3
Austin Bluffs	6	1
Briargate	2	1
Colorado	10	1
Fillmore	11	2
31 st /Fontmore St	6	1
Garden of the Gods	6	1
Hancock	6	1
Interquest	3	1
Marksheffel	6	2
MLK Bypass	1	1
Nevada	27	4
Platte	13	2
Powers	13	3
Union	6	4
US 24	4	1
Woodmen	10	2
TOTAL	140	

- All Roadway projects include multimodal elements
- 72 projects associated with multiple critical corridors, 13 associated with more than 2 critical corridors
- 34 site specific + 9 trail programs
- 51 sidewalk projects
- 38 on-street bikeway
- 69 targeting capacity at congestion hotspots

Other Programs



PR01	State and Federal Discretionary Grant Match Fund
PR02	Companion Drainage Improvements for Roadway Projects
PR03	Congestion and Incident Management
PR04	Emergency Bridge Fund
PR05	Intersection Improvements
PR06	On-Street Bikeway Improvements
PR07	Roadway Safety and Traffic Operations
PR08	Sidewalk Infill Improvements
PR09	Traffic Signal Systems Upgrades (City-wide)
PR10	Transit Fleet Supplement
PR11	Transit Service Enhancements
PR12	Transit Stop and Station Improvements

PPRTA vs ConnectCOS COLORADO SPRINGS OLYMPIC CITY USA Vision ConnectCOS Voter Approval PPRTA3 Other Funding Programmatic Opportunities Projects **Funding** 25 **Program Limit**

Summary



- What's new?
- What do I get?
 - Drivers
 - Transit Riders
 - Walk, Ride, or Roll
- Schedule

What's New?




- Ongoing functionality
 - Actions developed from an assessment of goal performance
 - Six different goals define the needs
 - Needs-driven actions
 - Needs list remains until actions address
- Transit Vision Network
 - How to take transit to the next level
- Active Transportation Network
 - Considers only off street or dedicated on-street facilities
- Systemwide strategies
 - Context specific design typologies
 - Right of Way allocation

What Do You Get?

Comment:

"This Plan continues the charade that transit/bicycle/pedestrian improvements solve the traffic issues/congestion for the future."

"Congestion" based on analysis of Calendar Year 2019 data set and measures of performance including delay (Level of Service) and travel time index




What Do You Get?

Comment:

"This Plan continues the charade that transit/bicycle/pedestrian improvements solve the traffic issues/congestion for the future."

 Response: 69 projects that target capacity improvements at known congestion hotspots

"Congestion" based on analysis of Calendar Year 2019 data set and measures of performance including delay (Level of Service) and travel time index




PPRTA Roadway Projects

Comment:

"This Plan continues the charade that transit/bicycle/pedestrian improvements solve the traffic issues/congestion for the future."

- Response: 69 projects that target capacity improvements at known congestion hotspots
- PPRTA 3 includes a mix of corridor and intersection improvements

"Congestion" based on analysis of Calendar Year 2019 data set and measures of performance including delay (Level of Service) and travel time index



What Do You Get?



Comment: "Unfortunately, the document is heavily focused on motorized transportation, in particular automobile traffic."

Transit to the Next Level

- Transit Vision Network
- Transit Capital Programs with increased funding in PPRTA3
 - Transit Fleet Supplement
 - Transit Service Enhancements
 - Transit Stop and Station Improvements

Active Modes (Bike, Pedestrian)

- Active Transportation Network
 - Recognizes need for dedicated facility network
- Commitment to trails with nine programs in PPRTA3 plus 34 site specific projects


PPRTA Projects

Multimodal Investments

- Expansion/Enhancement
- Modernization/Safety
- Preservation/Bridge
- Trail Programs and Projects
- Transit Programs and Flexibility

Range

- Geography
- Project size



Schedule



