

## **City of Colorado Springs**

Regional Development Center 2880 International Circle Colorado Springs, CO 80910

# **Meeting Minutes - Draft Planning Commission**

Wednesday, January 11, 2023

9:00 AM

**Hearing Room - 2nd Floor** 

## 1. Call to Order and Roll Call

**Present:** 10 - Commissioner Almy, Commissioner Briggs, Commissioner Foos, Commissioner

Hensler, Vice Chair McMurray, Commissioner Raughton, Commissioner Rickett,

Commissioner Slattery, Alternate Griggs and Alternate Morgan

Excused: 2 - Chair Hente and Alternate Cecil

## 2A. Approval of the Minutes

**2A.A.** CPC 23-032 Minutes for the September 22, 2022, City Planning Commission Special

Meeting

Presenter:

James McMurray, Vice Chair of the City Planning Commission

Attachments: CPC SpecialHearingMinutes 09.22.22 draft

Motion by Commissioner Rickett, seconded by Commissioner Almy, to approve the minutes for the September 22, 2022, City Planning Commission meeting. The

motion passed by a vote of 8:0:1:0

Aye: 8 - Commissioner Almy, Commissioner Briggs, Commissioner Foos, Commissioner Hensler, Vice Chair McMurray, Commissioner Raughton, Commissioner Rickett and

Commissioner Slattery

Absent: 1 - Chair Hente

**2A.B.** CPC 23-033 Minutes for the October 28, 2022, Special Hearing of the City Planning

Commission.

Presenter:

James McMurray

Attachments: CPC Minutes SpecialHearing 10.28.22 draft

Motion by Commissioner Rickett, seconded by Commissioner Almy, to approve the minutes for the October 28, 2022, City Planning Commission hearing. The motion passed by a vote of 8:0:1:0

Aye: 8 - Commissioner Almy, Commissioner Briggs, Commissioner Foos, Commissioner

Hensler, Vice Chair McMurray, Commissioner Raughton, Commissioner Rickett and

Commissioner Slattery

Absent: 1 - Chair Hente

## 2B. Changes to Agenda/Postponements

## 3. Communications

Peter Wysocki - Director of Planning and Community Development

Council meeting for Retool was postponed for two weeks. Council asked that Historic Neighborhood Partnership's (HNP) comments be brought back synthesizing HNP's request even though Planning provided all the information to the council.

Water ordinance approved by council on first reading and second reading will be heard by Council in two weeks.

Sunset Amphitheater was approved

Mr. Wysocki reminded the commissioners that when approving URA items on the Consent calendar, they are recommending approval to the City Council that the URA plan is consistent with the City's Comprehensive Plan.

## **4. CONSENT CALENDAR**

These items will be acted upon as a whole, unless a specific item is called for discussion by a Commissioner/Board Member or a citizen wishing to address the Commission or Board. (Any items called up for separate consideration shall be acted upon following the Consent Vote.)

#### **Garnet Urban Renewal Area Plan**

**4.A.** URA 23-008 A resolution making certain legislative findings and approving the Project Garnet Urban Renewal Plan.

Related Files: URA 23-031

Presenter:

Jariah Walker, CSURA Executive Director

Bob Cope, City of Colorado Springs Economic Development Officer

Attachments: Resolution ProjectGarnetURAPlan

URA Plan Draft-Project Garnet 1-05-23

Garnet URA Conditions Survey-Draft 12-23-22 El Paso County Impact Draft Report 1-06-2023

Project Garnet CC URA Work Session CPC Staff Report Garnet URA DJS

PlanCOS Vision Map

**Unique Places Framework** 

Thriving Economy

This Resolution was referred on the Consent Calendar to the City Council.

#### **Quail Lake Condos**

4.B. **PUDZ-22-00** 09

An ordinance amending the zoning map of the City of Colorado Springs relating to 2.33 acres located at the southeast corner of East Cheyenne Mountain Boulevard and Quail Lake Loop from PIP-1 (Planned Industrial Park) to PUD (Planned Unit Development; Multi-family Residential with condominium garages, maximum of 11.6 dwelling units per acre, maximum building height of 45 feet)

(Quasi-Judicial)

Related Files: PUDD-22-0037

Presenter:

Matthew Alcuran, Planner II, Planning and Community Development Peter Wysocki, Planning Director, Planning and Community Development

Attachments: ORD\_ZC\_QuailLakeCondos

Exhibit A - Legal Desc Exhibit B - Zone Change

CPC Staff Report Quail Lake Loop Condos PUD ZC PUD DP

**Project Statement** 

PUD Development Plan

Floor Plans

Public Comment Karen Lawson Support

Quail Lake responses

7.3.603 Establishment & Development of a PUD Zone

7.5.603.B Findings - ZC

This Ordinance was referred on the Consent Calendar to the City Council.

## 4.C. PUDD-22-00 37

A PUD Development Plan application proposing 27 units with the option for either a residential dwelling unit or a condominium garage

(Quasi-Judicial)

Related Files: PUDZ-22-0009

Presenter:

Matthew Alcuran, Planner II, Planning and Community Development Peter Wysocki, Planning Director, Planning and Community

Development

Attachments: PUD Development Plan

7.3.606 PUD Development Plan7.5.502.E Development Plan Review

This Planning Case was referred on the Consent Calendar to the City Council.

#### Saxon Multifamily

## 4.D. PUDZ-22-00 06

An ordinance amending the zoning map of the City of Colorado Springs relating to 5.5 acres located northeast of Federal Drive and Old Ranch Road from PIP-1 (Planned Industrial Park) to PUD (Planned Unit Development: Multi-family residential, 46.5 dwelling units per acres, and a maximum building height of 50 feet).

(Quasi-Judicial)

Related Files: PUDC-22-0007

Presenter:

Peter Lange, Planner II, Planning and Community Development Peter Wysocki, Director, Planning and Community Development

<u>Attachments:</u> ORD\_ZC\_SaxonMultifamily

Exhibit A - Legal

Exhibit B - Zone Change

CPC Report\_Federal Drive Zone Change and Concept Plan

Project Statement
PUD Concept Plan

Context Map

7.3.603 Establishment & Development of a PUD Zone

7.5.603 Findings - ZC

This Ordinance was referred on the Consent Calendar to the City Council.

## 4.E. PUDC-22-00 07

A planned unit development concept plan for a multi-family residential infill development with ancillary improvements located at the northeast of Federal Drive and Old Ranch Road.

(Quasi-Judicial)

Related Files: PUDZ-22-0006

Presenter:

Peter Lange, Planner II, Planning and Community Development Peter Wysocki, Director, Planning and Community Development

Attachments: PUD Concept Plan

7.3.605 PUD Concept Plan7.5.501.E Concept Plans

This Planning Case was referred on the Consent Calendar to the City Council.

## Flying Horse Ranch Master Plan Implementation

4.F. 23-018

A resolution of the City of Colorado Springs approving a change to the legislative status of Flying Horse Ranch Master Plan from operative to implemented. (Legislative)

Presenter:

Daniel Besinaiz, Senior Comprehensive Planner, Planning & Community Development

Peter Wysocki, Director, Planning & Community Development

<u>Attachments:</u> Flying Horse Resolution

FHR MP CPC Staff Report Flying Horse Master Plan 7.5.402 Types of Master Plans

This Resolution was referred on the Consent Calendar to the City Council

#### Approval of the Consent Agenda

Motion by Commissioner Rickett, seconded by Commissioner Almy, that all matters on the Consent Calendar be passed, adopted, and approved by unanimous consent of the members present. The motion passed by a vote of 8:0:1:0

Aye: 8 - Commissioner Almy, Commissioner Briggs, Commissioner Foos,
Commissioner Hensler, Vice Chair McMurray, Commissioner Raughton,
Commissioner Rickett and Commissioner Slattery

Absent: 1 - Chair Hente

## 5. ITEMS CALLED OFF CONSENT

## 6. UNFINISHED BUSINESS

#### **Amara Annexations**

Staff informed the commissioners that the applicants for the Amara Annexations asked to postpone the item due to the water ordinance being passed by City Council.

**6.A.** <u>CPC A</u> 21-00197

An ordinance annexing to the City of Colorado Springs that area known as Amara Addition No. 1 Annexation consisting of 1.193 acres located near the northeast corner of Squirrel Creek Road and Link Road, south of Bradley Road. (Legislative)

Presenter:

Katie Carleo, Land Use Planning Manager, Planning & Community Development
Peter Wysocki, Planning Director, Planning & Community
Development

Attachments: CPC Staff Report Amara Annexation (A.MP.ZC) 1.4.23

Amara Annexation Plat Add. No. 1

**Amara Project Statement** 

**Amara Annexation Vicinity Map** 

**Public Notice Posters** 

Public Comments updated 1.4.23

**Public Comment Response** 

3-Mile Buffer

Amara Annexation Plats Add. No. 1-11

**Amara Additions** 

Surrounding Ownership and Future Roads

**Amara Master Plan** 

Amara Master Plan-Conceptual

Amara Park and Trails

**School District Letters** 

**Amara Roadway Exhibit** 

City Annexations by Decade

City of Fountain Coorespondence

**CSFD Amara Response** 

**CSPD** Amara Response

Wastewater Service Information

7.6.203-Annexation Conditions

Motion by Commissioner Rickett, seconded by Commissioner Raughton, to postpone the Amara Annexation to a date uncertain. The motion passed by a vote of 8:0:1:0

Aye: 8 - Commissioner Almy, Commissioner Briggs, Commissioner Foos,

Commissioner Hensler, Vice Chair McMurray, Commissioner Raughton,

Commissioner Rickett and Commissioner Slattery

Absent: 1 - Chair Hente

**6.B.** <u>CPC A</u> 21-00198

An ordinance annexing to the City of Colorado Springs that area known as Amara Addition No. 2 Annexation consisting of 4.160 acres located near the northeast corner of Squirrel Creek Road and Link Road, south of Bradley Road.

(Legislative)

Presenter:

Katie Carleo, Land Use Planning Manager, Planning & Community

Development

Peter Wysocki, Planning Director, Planning & Community

Attachments: Amara Annexation Plat Add. No. 2

7.6.203-Annexation Conditions

Motion by Commissioner Rickett, seconded by Commissioner Raughton, to postpone the Amara Annexation to a date uncertain. The motion passed by a vote of 8:0:1:0

Aye: 8 - Commissioner Almy, Commissioner Briggs, Commissioner Foos,

Commissioner Hensler, Vice Chair McMurray, Commissioner Raughton,

Commissioner Rickett and Commissioner Slattery

Absent: 1 - Chair Hente

6.C. CPC<sub>A</sub> 21-00199 An ordinance annexing to the City of Colorado Springs that area known as Amara Addition No. 3 Annexation consisting of 8.633 acres located near the northeast corner of Squirrel Creek Road and Link Road, south of Bradley Road.

(Legislative)

Presenter:

Katie Carleo, Land Use Planning Manager, Planning & Community

Development

Peter Wysocki, Planning Director, Planning & Community

Development

Attachments: Amara Annexation Plats Add. No. 3

7.6.203-Annexation Conditions

Motion by Commissioner Rickett, seconded by Commissioner Raughton, to postpone the Amara Annexation to a date uncertain. The motion passed by a vote of 8:0:1:0

Aye: 8 - Commissioner Almy, Commissioner Briggs, Commissioner Foos, Commissioner Hensler, Vice Chair McMurray, Commissioner Raughton, Commissioner Rickett and Commissioner Slattery

Absent: 1 - Chair Hente

6.D. CPC A 21-00200 An ordinance annexing to the City of Colorado Springs that area known as Amara Addition No. 4 Annexation consisting of 24.430 acres located near the northeast corner of Squirrel Creek Road and Link Road, south of Bradley Road.

(Legislative)

Presenter:

Katie Carleo, Land Use Planning Manager, Planning & Community

Development

Peter Wysocki, Planning Director, Planning & Community

Attachments: Amara Annexation Plats Add. No. 4

7.6.203-Annexation Conditions

Motion by Commissioner Rickett, seconded by Commissioner Raughton, to postpone the Amara Annexation to a date uncertain. The motion passed by a vote of 8:0:1:0

Aye: 8 - Commissioner Almy, Commissioner Briggs, Commissioner Foos,

Commissioner Hensler, Vice Chair McMurray, Commissioner Raughton,

Commissioner Rickett and Commissioner Slattery

Absent: 1 - Chair Hente

**6.E.** <u>CPC A</u> 21-00201

An ordinance annexing to the City of Colorado Springs that area known as Amara Addition No. 5 Annexation consisting of 124.759 acres located near the northeast corner of Squirrel Creek Road and Link Road, south of Bradley Road.

(Legislative)

Presenter:

Katie Carleo, Land Use Planning Manager, Planning & Community

Development

Peter Wysocki, Planning Director, Planning & Community

Development

Attachments: Amara Annexation Plats Add. No. 5

7.6.203-Annexation Conditions

Motion by Commissioner Rickett, seconded by Commissioner Raughton, to postpone the Amara Annexation to a date uncertain. The motion passed by a vote of 8:0:1:0

Aye:

Commissioner Almy, Commissioner Briggs, Commissioner Foos, Commissioner Hensler, Vice Chair McMurray, Commissioner Raughton, Commissioner Rickett and Commissioner Slattery

Absent: 1 - Chair Hente

**6.F.** <u>CPC A</u> 21-00202

An ordinance annexing to the City of Colorado Springs that area known as Amara Addition No. 6 Annexation consisting of 218.046 acres located near the northeast corner of Squirrel Creek Road and Link Road, south of Bradley Road.

(Legislative)

Presenter:

Katie Carleo, Land Use Planning Manager, Planning & Community

Development

Peter Wysocki, Planning Director, Planning & Community

Attachments: Amara Annexation Plats Add. No. 6

7.6.203-Annexation Conditions

Motion by Commissioner Rickett, seconded by Commissioner Raughton, to postpone the Amara Annexation to a date uncertain. The motion passed by a vote of 8:0:1:0

Aye: 8 - Commissioner Almy, Commissioner Briggs, Commissioner Foos,

Commissioner Hensler, Vice Chair McMurray, Commissioner Raughton,

Commissioner Rickett and Commissioner Slattery

Absent: 1 - Chair Hente

6.G. CPC A 21-00203 An ordinance annexing to the City of Colorado Springs that area known as Amara Addition No. 7A Annexation consisting of 95.566 acres located near the northeast corner of Squirrel Creek Road and Link Road, south of Bradley Road.

(Legislative)

Presenter:

Katie Carleo, Land Use Planning Manager, Planning & Community

Development

Peter Wysocki, Planning Director, Planning & Community

Development

Attachments: Amara Annexation Plats Add. No. 7A

7.6.203-Annexation Conditions

Motion by Commissioner Rickett, seconded by Commissioner Raughton, to postpone the Amara Annexation to a date uncertain. The motion passed by a vote of 8:0:1:0

Aye: 8 - Commissioner Almy, Commissioner Briggs, Commissioner Foos, Commissioner Hensler, Vice Chair McMurray, Commissioner Raughton,

Commissioner Rickett and Commissioner Slattery

Absent: 1 - Chair Hente

6.H. 22-00108 An ordinance annexing to the City of Colorado Springs that area known as Amara Addition No. 7B Annexation consisting of 254.149 acres located near the northeast corner of Squirrel Creek Road and

Link Road. (Legislative)

Presenter:

Katie Carleo, Land Use Planning Manager, Planning & Community

Development

Peter Wysocki, Planning Director, Planning & Community

Attachments: Amara Annexation Plats Add. No. 7B

7.6.203-Annexation Conditions

Motion by Commissioner Rickett, seconded by Commissioner Raughton, to postpone the Amara Annexation to a date uncertain. The motion passed by a vote of 8:0:1:0

Aye: 8 - Commissioner Almy, Commissioner Briggs, Commissioner Foos,

Commissioner Hensler, Vice Chair McMurray, Commissioner Raughton,

Commissioner Rickett and Commissioner Slattery

Absent: 1 - Chair Hente

6.I. <u>CPC A</u> 21-00204 An ordinance annexing to the City of Colorado Springs that area known as Amara Addition No. 8 Annexation consisting of 400.348 acres located near the northeast corner of Squirrel Creek Road and Link Road.

(Legislative)

Presenter:

Katie Carleo, Land Use Planning Manager, Planning & Community

Development

Peter Wysocki, Planning Director, Planning & Community

Development

Attachments: Amara Annexation Plats Add. No. 8

7.6.203-Annexation Conditions

Motion by Commissioner Rickett, seconded by Commissioner Raughton, to postpone the Amara Annexation to a date uncertain. The motion passed by a vote of 8:0:1:0

Aye:

Commissioner Almy, Commissioner Briggs, Commissioner Foos,
 Commissioner Hensler, Vice Chair McMurray, Commissioner Raughton,
 Commissioner Rickett and Commissioner Slattery

Absent: 1 - Chair Hente

**6.J.** <u>CPC A</u> 21-00205

An ordinance annexing to the City of Colorado Springs that area known as Amara Addition No. 9 Annexation consisting of 515.841 acres located near the northeast corner of Squirrel Creek Road and Link Road.

(Legislative)

Presenter:

Katie Carleo, Land Use Planning Manager, Planning & Community

Development

Peter Wysocki, Planning Director, Planning & Community

Attachments: Amara Addition No. 9 Annexation Plat

7.6.203-Annexation Conditions

Motion by Commissioner Rickett, seconded by Commissioner Raughton, to postpone the Amara Annexation to a date uncertain. The motion passed by a vote of 8:0:1:0

Aye: 8 - Commissioner Almy, Commissioner Briggs, Commissioner Foos,

Commissioner Hensler, Vice Chair McMurray, Commissioner Raughton,

Commissioner Rickett and Commissioner Slattery

Absent: 1 - Chair Hente

**6.K.** <u>CPC A</u> 21-00206

An ordinance annexing to the City of Colorado Springs that area known as Amara Addition No. 10 Annexation consisting of 719.719 acres located near the northeast corner of Squirrel Creek Road and Link Road.

(Legislative)

Presenter:

Katie Carleo, Land Use Planning Manager, Planning & Community

Development

Peter Wysocki, Planning Director, Planning & Community

Development

Attachments: Amara Annexation Plat Add. No. 10

7.6.203-Annexation Conditions

Motion by Commissioner Rickett, seconded by Commissioner Raughton, to postpone the Amara Annexation to a date uncertain. The motion passed by a vote of 8:0:1:0

Aye: 8 - Commissioner Almy, Commissioner Briggs, Commissioner Foos,

Commissioner Hensler, Vice Chair McMurray, Commissioner Raughton,

Commissioner Rickett and Commissioner Slattery

Absent: 1 - Chair Hente

**6.L.** <u>CPC A</u> 21-00207

An ordinance annexing to the City of Colorado Springs that area known as Amara Addition No. 11 Annexation consisting of 858.642 acres located near the northeast corner of Squirrel Creek Road and

Link Road. (Legislative)

Presenter:

Katie Carleo, Land Use Planning Manager, Planning & Community

Development

Peter Wysocki, Planning Director, Planning & Community

Attachments: Amara Annexation Plat Add. No. 11

7.6.203-Annexation Conditions

Motion by Commissioner Rickett, seconded by Commissioner Raughton, to postpone the Amara Annexation to a date uncertain. The motion passed by a vote of 8:0:1:0

Aye: 8 -Commissioner Almy, Commissioner Briggs, Commissioner Foos,

Commissioner Hensler, Vice Chair McMurray, Commissioner Raughton,

Commissioner Rickett and Commissioner Slattery

Absent: 1 - Chair Hente

6.M. CPC MP 21-00208

Establishment of the Amara Master Plan for proposed commercial, industrial, civic, single-family residential, multi-family residential, parks and open spaces within the City of Colorado Springs. The property is located near the northeast corner of Squirrel Creek Road and Link Road, south of Bradley Road, and consists of 3172.796

(Legislative)

Presenter:

Katie Carleo, Planning Manager, Planning & Community

Development

Peter Wysocki, Director of Planning and Community Development

Amara Master Plan Attachments:

Amara Master Plan-Conceptual

7.5.408 Master Plan

Motion by Commissioner Rickett, seconded by Commissioner Raughton, to postpone the Amara Annexation to a date uncertain. The motion passed by a vote of 8:0:1:0

Aye: 8 - Commissioner Almy, Commissioner Briggs, Commissioner Foos, Commissioner Hensler, Vice Chair McMurray, Commissioner Raughton, Commissioner Rickett and Commissioner Slattery

Absent: 1 - Chair Hente

6.N. CPC ZC 21-00209 An ordinance amending the zoning map of the City of Colorado Springs pertaining to 3172.796 acres located near the northeast corner of Squirrel Creek Road and Link Road, south of Bradley Road establishing the A (Agricultural) zone

(Legislative)

Presenter:

Katie Carleo, Land Use Planning Manager, Planning & Community

Development

Peter Wysocki, Planning Director, Planning & Community

Attachments: Amara Additions

**Amara Annexation Vicinity Map** 

7.5.603.B Findings - ZC

Motion by Commissioner Rickett, seconded by Commissioner Raughton, to postpone the Amara Annexation to a date uncertain. The motion passed by a vote of 8:0:1:0

Aye: 8 - Commissioner Almy, Commissioner Briggs, Commissioner Foos,

Commissioner Hensler, Vice Chair McMurray, Commissioner Raughton,

Commissioner Rickett and Commissioner Slattery

Absent: 1 - Chair Hente

## 7. NEW BUSINESS CALENDAR

## Hope Chapel Addition Nos. 1 & 2 Annexations

7.A. ANEX-22-00 Hope Chapel Addition No. 1 Annexation located at 2210 Old Ranch

10 Road consisting of 4.6136 acres.

(Legislative)

Presenter:

Gabe Sevigny, Planning Supervisor, Planning and Community

Development

Attachments: CPC Staff Report Hope Chapel Anenxation

**ProjectStatement** 

Annexation Plat - Hope Chapel Addition No.1

Annexation Plat - Hope Chapel Addition No.2

Zone Change

Concept Plan

Annexation Agreement Draft

FIA Memo

**ProjectStatement** 

NearEnclave annexation north2

**AERIAL** 

**Public Comments** 

7.6.203-Annexation Conditions

Fasterling.Brian comments

#### **Staff Presentation:**

Gabe Sevigny, Planning Supervisor, presented a PowerPoint presentation discussing the scope and intent of the project.

#### **Applicant Presentation:**

Andrea Barlow, NES presented a PowerPoint presentation discussing the scope and intent of the project

#### Questions:

Commissioner Rickett asked if an enclave was being created by bringing Old Ranch Road into the city. Ms. Barlow stated it was already a larger enclave and they were taking a small piece of it. Ms. Carleo, Land Use Review Planning Manager, stated the area is a piece of a larger complex enclave. PlanCOS identified this area as a near enclave. The City surrounds the area on three sides.

Commissioner Briggs asked why the small area to the south was not included. Ms. Barlow explained it is not part of the annexation because the annexation petitioner does not own it. Commissioner Briggs confirmed a church was already planned for the area. Ms. Barlow said yes, that Hope Chapel owns the property and will be building the church. Commissioner Briggs asked how traffic changes with 100-units opposed to 120-units, as the applicants reduced the amount of units which reduced their liability to do something with the property. Mr. Todd Frisbie, City Traffic Engineering, explained with less units you lower the trip generation count, and by lowering the trip generation and being the only ones generating traffic at the access on the north side, it put the numbers below the City's criteria to require those things. Mr. Frisbie also stated there were plans to widen Old Ranch Road in the future with Pikes Peak Rural Transit Authority 3 (PPRTA 3) funds.

Commissioner Hensler asked about the timing of the plans to widen the road. Mr. Frisbie said PPRTA3 funding does not begin until 2025. Mr. Frisbie said initial conversation suggested it would be about 5-7 years before improvements are seen along Old Ranch Road. Commissioner Hensler said if the property was annexed, improvements would be made by the developer for sidewalks and gutters and other improvements, but that would be just a small section of a greater road that would not have improvements and asked if that were true. Commissioner Hensler also asked who would manage all the road. Would it be city, county, city, or would it be managed by one entity. Mr. Frisbie said by requiring this annexation to include the annexation of Old Ranch up to the bridge, it brings all Old Ranch Road into the city. So, all maintenance, improvements, pothole repair would now fall solely on the city.

Commissioner Briggs asked if the developer would be required to make the necessary traffic improvements if later they decided to increase the number of units from 100 units to 120 units. Mr. Sevigny said that was correct and the developer would have to go through the planning process, which automatically gets sent to traffic engineering and various other departments for review.

Commissioner Raughton asked if the church was an imminent development. Ms. Barlow confirmed with her client that they intend to develop the church, and possibly simultaneously with the development of the multifamily.

Commissioner Hensler asked what the benefit was of creating this enclave and bringing it into the City if the uses are already allowed within the county. Ms. Barlow explained whether an enclave or near enclave the property has one-sixth contiguity to the city which allows for the annexation. Multi-family residential is not allowed under County zoning but the church is. A general reason to annex is to get on City Services. This has a water tap and if a church was built it would utilize the city's sewer but in the county it would have to be on a septic system. So overall it is beneficial for the property owner to be in the City. Commissioner Hensler clarified the multi-family, and the church were separate uses. Ms. Barlow said they were.

Regarding enclaves, Mr. Sevigny pointed out the goal of PlanCOS is to reduce any enclaves. For any use that requires City Utilities, the city requires the annexation so the boundary can be expanded and have the water rights benefit the City when outsourcing the utility requirements.

Commissioner Raughton stated the strategic long term plan for the City is to close enclaves throughout the City.

#### Support:

None.

#### Opposed:

Mark Powers, lives directly south of the site across Old Ranch Road.

- One concern is traffic during 8:00AM and 5:00PM, it is steady traffic. It is difficult now and if you add 100-units nothing changes would change but if you add 120-units some adjustments may happen.
- The other concern is this is a rural area with homes on five acres and this will affect the aesthetics of the area.

Jay Stoner, 5655 Bridal Spur Ridge Place,

- Currently in the process of building a home on 5 acres across from the development.
- This development will lower the value of his home.
- It is not contextual to what is around the area. The density proposed of 14 dwelling units per acre when compared to one on three acres.
- Is there any plan to connect Old Ranch Road to I-25
- What about a stop light for the new site since that would allow people to get on the road.

#### Rebuttal:

Ms. Barlow with NES stated the big benefit of the annexation is it will bring this remaining section of Old Ranch Rd into the City. Right now, it switches between City and County in several different places. This way it brings the entirety of the road into the City and allow the City to do improvements to Old Ranch Rd with PPRTA 3.

Regarding the contextual nature of the development. They were not suggesting it is contextual in the framework of the rural residential south of Old Ranch Road which are large rural residential lots. The type of use they are proposing is consistent with an infill project. It would be compatible in the context of the city surrounding it and its future location within the City .

#### DISCUSSION:

Commissioner McMurray asked about signalization on Old Ranch Road along with future improvements and the question raised about possible connection of Old Ranch Road connecting to I-25. Mr. Frisbie said there are no plans to connect Old Ranch Road to I-25. As for the intersection at Otero and Old Ranch Road, bringing that section of Old Ranch Road into the City allows for a signalization at the intersection and the ability to monitor the intersection.

Commissioner Hensler asked what triggers the monitoring for that intersection. Mr. Frisbie stated there is criteria in the manual of Uniform Traffic Control Devices that is followed, It is something that is not mandated or must be done but can become a trigger point for the City to consider a signal. Citizens can also request a study, which Mr. Frisbie said he will initiate to see if criteria are met for a signal at that intersection. If the study shows one is not needed right now, they can leave it on their list and come back in two years with a new study and revaluate the warrant criteria.

Commissioner Raughton asked if intersection would be designed with deceleration lanes and for the single entry point into the complex. Mr. Frisbie stated the study provided by the applicant projecting traffic turning in and out does not meet the criteria for left and right turn lanes; therefore, the City is not requiring it with this development. Commissioner Raughton asked about the level of service around the school and if currently there was very slow and disturbed traffic. Mr. Frisbie said the levels of service are for the turn movements for in and out of the access point and in and out of Otero. The study showed level service D operations for those movements, which is an average delay. During peak hours the delay is 35 to 55 seconds. Schools can spike the traffic usually 15 to 20 minutes, which might make it difficult to access the road, but afterward it returns to normal operations meeting standards. It would be the same on Sundays for church when services begin and end, then return to the regular standards.

Commissioner Foos asked if this property were not annexed into the City, a church could be built but tied to well water. Mr. Sevigny said the County has their requirements, but religious institutions would have to apply for certain permits. For this proposal, planning staff is requiring an annexation in order to hook up to city services.

Commissioner Ricket said he has gone through the criteria for annexations and feels it meets the annexation criteria. What concerns him is the development plan, concept plan, and comments from the citizens.

Commissioner McMurray clarified when annexed, zoning must be approved and the development plan goes along with that, like a packaged deal. Ms. Katie Carleo, Planning Manager, clarified this was not a development plan. The establishment of a zone is a requirement with an annexation, as well as a concept plan based on the requested zoning. A development plan and final plat would come later and be handled administratively. Ms. Carleo explained the high level details of the concept plan are the controlling factors for the development plan like height, density, and type. The development plan is the more finite details that follow what is approved on the concept plan.

Commissioner Ricket asked if they could approve the annexation and not the concept plan or were they all together. Ms. Lisa O'Boyle, City Attorney, stated an annexation requires the zone and the zone requires the concept plan under code. The cleanest way to do that is all coupled together, so her advice is to couple them all together.

Mr. Sevigny said since this is a straight zone, code defines parameters for that. Any significant changes to the concept plan could affect other areas and the development code would set the overall parameters for the project.

Commissioner Briggs asked what would happen if there were significant changes to the numbers. Mr. Sevigny said the degree of change would determine that. A substantial change requires a major amendment to the concept plan which would be heard by Planning Commission. Commissioner Briggs asked if that included changes to green space. Mr. Sevigny said yes. Anything causing a major change especially to the mouse habitat or streamside are considered more of an impact that requires other departments' involvement and not just planning.

Commissioner Almy confirmed with amendments it would go back through all the agencies for review as well as public notice. Mr. Sevigny said yes.

Commissioner Raughton said he's for the annexation since closing enclaves within the City makes sense and is a goal of the Comprehensive Plan. His concern is about traffic flow and traffic congestion related to this project, the church and adjacent or in related schools. The Church is an appropriate use, the residential development is an appropriate transitional use. He's inclined to be in supportive if Traffic Engineering will scrutinize, evaluate and make the appropriate requirements for that intersection and follow up as needed.

Commissioner Almy concerns were related the public having further protection if changes happen. Commissioner Almy said he is convinced that will happen with public notice and the opportunity to give comments. The other concern is with the traffic. The construction traffic will face all the problems being talked about for future traffic. The construction crew will have the first complaints in if there is major disruption to traffic. He is in favor of the project.

Commissioner Rickett agrees about the annexation portion of the project. He's

struggling with concept plan and the zoning. It is a perfect place for a church, but with the transition piece and changing the zoning they're creating a transition in a hole with the County on three sides. The concept plan review criteria numbers (4) and (5) reference traffic - traffic circulation, parking, overburden the capacity of existing streets, which today this may overburden the street because of the count and we're not doing a right in / right out. We are not addressing the current issues in this concept plan. Number six (6) says, "does the proposed development promote the stabilization and preservation of the existing properties and adjacent areas and surrounding residential neighborhoods." He didn't think this concept plan met that criteria.

Commissioner Foos said he echoes the traffic concerns, but he is in support of annexation.

Commissioner Hensler understands the concern of the neighbors. She agrees this is a good opportunity to close enclaves and address this whole area for the long term. The transition is a nice approach since it is not a huge multi-family development. It is a good mix and we need housing of all different types. She is in support and does not share the concerns about the concept plan.

Commissioner Slattery agrees with the sentiments of her fellow Commissioners. It makes sense to close enclaves and be consistent with the City's long term goals. Regarding the zone change and concept plan she agrees it is an appropriate use for the area and the church along with the smaller scale residential multi-family use. Multi-family is almost always contentious with the neighbors, however, there is a need for housing diversity within our city and beyond.

Commissioner McMurray asked Mr. Frisbie that given the nature of Old Ranch Road being between the City and the County that it causes a limiting factor in the City's ability to address some of these potential concerns in terms of the congestion or operations of the road from a traffic standpoint. Mr. Frisbie said Commissioner McMurray was correct. Ownership of the road makes is easier to make improvements without having to work through the county. This will annex the last piece of Old Ranch Road and remove the gap in the road of ownership. All of Old Ranch Road will be in the City which is what the County wants and it is in the City's best interests. Commissioner McMurray stated there was not an immediate fix with this potential development but annexing it positions the City to start addressing the problem. Mr. Frisbie confirmed it will move it up guicker. The City could do it on our own but that takes a much longer time. They'd have to get the ownership transferred to the City, without the annexation, which is something they can do and do it regularly, but it takes agreements, and have it approved by the Board of County Commissioners which is all time consuming. This process of annexation is a much smoother method.

Commissioner Briggs stated he was pleased the City will be able to get a baseline for the traffic now and find out what the real traffic flow is with the data. We will more than likely find out this is a much more used artery and is keenly

interested in what the baseline will show for the traffic now and going forward.

Commissioner Raughton commented that some of the written comments said the Planning Commission and Council do not reflect on neighborhood concerns. He hoped the debate today showed they do consider neighbors' comments, reflect on them, and ask that other reviewing agencies also reflect on them. One of the outcomes of these comments is it will affect the future of this road.

Motion by Commissioner Slattery, seconded by Commissioner Rickett, to recommend approval to City Council the Hope Chapel Addition No. 1 annexation consisting of 4.6136 acres based upon the findings that the annexation complies with all the conditions for annexation criteria as set forth in City Code Section 7.6.203. The motion passed by a vote of 8:0:1:0

Aye: 8 - Commissioner Almy, Commissioner Briggs, Commissioner Foos,
Commissioner Hensler, Vice Chair McMurray, Commissioner Raughton,
Commissioner Rickett and Commissioner Slattery

Absent: 1 - Chair Hente

7.B. ANEX-22-00 Hope Chapel Addition No. 2 Annexation located at 2210 Old Ranch Road consisting of 9.8807 acres.

(Legislative)

Presenter:

Gabe Sevigny, Planning Supervisor, Planning and Community Development

Attachments: Annexation Plat - Hope Chapel Addition No.2

7.6.203-Annexation Conditions

Motion by Commissioner Slattery, seconded by Commissioner Rickett, to recommend approval to City Council the Hope Chapel Addition No. 2 annexation consisting of 9.8807 acres based upon the findings that the annexation complies with all the Conditions for Annexation Criteria as set forth in City Code Section 7.6.203. The motion passed by a vote of 8:0:1:0

Aye: 8 - Commissioner Almy, Commissioner Briggs, Commissioner Foos,
Commissioner Hensler, Vice Chair McMurray, Commissioner Raughton,
Commissioner Rickett and Commissioner Slattery

Absent: 1 - Chair Hente

7.C. ZONE-22-00 Zone change establishing R5/SS (Multi-Family Residential with Streamside Overlay) zone district located at 2210 Old Ranch Road consisting of 11.1073 acres. (Legislative)

Presenter:

Gabe Sevigny, Planning Supervisor, Planning and Community Development

Attachments: Exhibit A - Legal Description

Exhibit B - Zone Change 7.5.603.B Findings - ZC

Motion by Commissioner Slattery, seconded by Commissioner Rickett, to recommend approval to City Council the establishment of 11.1073 acres as R5/SS (Multi-Family Residential with Streamside Overlay) zoned district, based upon the findings that the change of zone request complies with the criteria for granting of zone changes as set forth in City Code Section 7.5.603(B). The motion passed by a vote of 8:0:1:0

Commissioner Almy, Commissioner Briggs, Commissioner Foos, Commissioner Hensler, Vice Chair McMurray, Commissioner Raughton, Commissioner Rickett and Commissioner Slattery

Absent: 1 - Chair Hente

7.D.

80

COPN-22-00 Hope Chapel Addition 1 & 2 concept plan illustrating multi-family and religious institution uses located at 2210 Old Ranch Road consisting of 11.1073 acres.

(Quasi-Judicial)

Presenter:

Gabe Sevigny, Planning Supervisor, Planning and Community Development

Attachments: Concept Plan

7.5.501.E Concept Plans

Motion by Commissioner Slattery, seconded by Commissioner Rickett, to recommend approval to City Council the Hope Chapel Addition No. 1 & 2 amp; 2 concept plan, based upon the findings that the concept plan meets the review criteria for a concept plan as set forth in City Code Section 7.5.501.E with one (1) condition of approval:

1. Receive final approval from SWENT for the preliminary drainage report.

The motion passed by a vote of 8:0:1:0

Aye: 8 -Commissioner Almy, Commissioner Briggs, Commissioner Foos,

Commissioner Hensler, Vice Chair McMurray, Commissioner Raughton,

Commissioner Rickett and Commissioner Slattery

Absent: 1 - Chair Hente

#### T5 Addition No. 1 Annexation

7.E. ANEX-22-00 T5 Addition No. 1 Annexation located at the southern portion of 3819 Janitell Road consisting of 20,064 square feet. 12 (Legislative)

Presenter:

Gabe Sevigny, Planning Supervisor, Planning and Community

Development

Attachments: CPC Staff Report T5 Addition No. 1

Project Statement

Annexation Plat

Zone Change

**Concept Plan** 

**Development Plan** 

**Annexation Agreement - Draft** 

FIA Below Threshold.T5 Addition No. 1

<u>Aerial</u>

7.6.203-Annexation Conditions

Gabe Sevigny gave a PowerPoint presentation discussing the scope and intent for the T5 Addition No. 1 Annexation project.

#### **Applicant Presentation:**

Kyle Campbell, Classic Consulting Engineering and Surveyors gave a presentation discussing the scope and intent for the T-5 Addition No. 1 project.

#### Questions:

None

Support:

None

Opposed:

None

#### **DISCUSSION & VOTE:**

None

Motion by Commissioner Rickett, seconded by Commissioner Raughton, that this Ordinance be accepted Recommend approval to City Council the T5 Annexation consisting of 20,064 square feet based upon the findings that the annexation complies with all the Conditions for Annexation Criteria as set forth in City Code Section 7.6.203. The motion passed by a vote of 8:0:1:0

Aye: 8 - Commissioner Almy, Commissioner Briggs, Commissioner Foos,
Commissioner Hensler, Vice Chair McMurray, Commissioner Raughton,
Commissioner Rickett and Commissioner Slattery

Absent: 1 - Chair Hente

#### 7.F. **ZONE-22-00**

09

Zone change establishing PIP-2 (Planned Industrial Park) zone district located at the southern portion of 3819 Janitell Road consisting of 20,064 square feet. (Legislative)

Presenter:

Gabe Sevigny, Planning Supervisor, Planning and Community Development

#### Attachments: Exhibit A - Legal Desc

Exhibit B - Zone Change 7.5.603.B Findings - ZC

Motion by Commissioner Rickett, seconded by Commissioner Foos, to recommend approval to City Council the establishment of 20,064 square feet as PIP-2 (Planned Industrial Park) zoned district, based upon the findings that the change of zone request complies with the criteria for granting of zone changes as set forth in City Code Section 7.5.603(B). The motion passed by a vote of 8:0:1:0

Aye: 8 - Commissioner Almy, Commissioner Briggs, Commissioner Foos, Commissioner Hensler, Vice Chair McMurray, Commissioner Raughton, Commissioner Rickett and Commissioner Slattery

Absent: 1 - Chair Hente

# 7.G.

26

COPN-22-00 Vineyard Commerce Park Concept Plan Amendment illustrating the area to be used for stormwater related requirements, a fence, and fire access road located at the southern portion of 3819 Janitell Road consisting of 20,064 square feet. (Quasi-Judicial)

Presenter:

Gabe Sevigny, Planning Supervisor, Planning and Community Development

Attachments: Concept Plan

7.5.501.E Concept Plans

Motion by Commissioner Rickett, seconded by Commissioner Foos, to recommend approval to City Council the Vineyard Commerce Park Concept Plan Amendment, based upon the findings that the concept plan amendment meets the review criteria for a concept plan amendment as set forth in City Code Section 7.5.501.E. The motion passed by a vote of 8:0:1:0

Commissioner Almy, Commissioner Briggs, Commissioner Foos, Commissioner Hensler, Vice Chair McMurray, Commissioner Raughton, Commissioner Rickett and Commissioner Slattery

Absent: 1 - Chair Hente

#### 8. PRESENTATIONS/UPDATES

# 9. Adjourn