

## Teixeira, Rachel

---

**From:** Christine.Caldwell@comcast.net  
**Sent:** Tuesday, October 9, 2018 5:52 PM  
**To:** Teixeira, Rachel; 'Joey Mc Gregor'; 'Nicole Powell'  
**Subject:** RE: 595 Airport Creek Point - DN0147G (CPC CM1 18-00100)

**CAUTION! - External Email. Malware is most commonly spread through unknown email attachments and links. DO NOT open attachments or click links from unknown senders or unexpected email!**

My comments to the applicant on the revisions are as follows:

The artist's depiction on page 7 of the CMRS Airport Creek Point Color Photo Simulations is the most troubling for me. It shows how close it is to the StoneCrest homes and is absolutely unsightly the design is. I looked online at various designs and the monopine and plain tower without the fake branches are the most hideous. The designs that were at least more acceptable than the aforementioned included lighthouses, bell towers, clock towers, water towers, sculptural art, tree trunks, flag poles, fake chimney's, and a huge pencil. A huge fake pine tree is an eyesore and sticks out like a sore thumb. The towers that are enclosed are a vast improvement over the proposed design.

It is not clear why the placement of the tower is closest to StoneCrest, a residential area. Could the tower be placed anywhere within Airport Rd, S. Powers Blvd, Sand Creek, and Airport Creek Point. Why wouldn't the tower be placed closer to the commercial properties, such as McDonalds or the WoodSpring Suites? Some of the towers that looked acceptable included advertisements placed over the enclosures for the businesses next to the cell phone towers.

In addition, the El Paso County Zoning code for Commercial properties allows a maximum height of 45 ft. A 50-foot tower goes against the zoning laws and I feel that an exception should not be made for this cell phone tower. Why have zoning codes, rules, and regulations if they are not strictly adhered to?

Thank you for your consideration in this matter.

Christine Caldwell  
719-362-3485

---

**From:** Teixeira, Rachel <RTeixeira@springsgov.com>  
**Sent:** Thursday, October 4, 2018 5:24 PM  
**To:** Joey Mc Gregor <paljoeym@gmail.com>; Nicole Powell <nicolephotography@yahoo.com>; christine.caldwell@comcast.net  
**Subject:** 595 Airport Creek Point - DN0147G (CPC CM1 18-00100)

I have attached the revised site plans, project statement and color photo simulations. Please provide your comments on these revisions provided by the applicant by Thursday, October 11, 2018 by 5:00pm.

Thank you,  
Rachel Teixeira

## Teixeira, Rachel

---

**From:** Nicole Powell <nicolephotography@yahoo.com>  
**Sent:** Monday, October 8, 2018 9:21 AM  
**To:** Joey Mc Gregor; christine.caldwell@comcast.net; Teixeira, Rachel  
**Subject:** Re: 595 Airport Creek Point - DN0147G (CPC CM1 18-00100)

**CAUTION! - External Email. Malware is most commonly spread through unknown email attachments and links. DO NOT open attachments or click links from unknown senders or unexpected email!**

Ms. Teixeira,

Looking at the current photos and the simulations you have sent, I can't help but be alarmed at how close it is to the neighborhood, especially the children's playground. At the very least if we must have this radiation expelling tower, can the site be moved closer to McDonald's & Airport Road? Not only is it an eyesore being so close to the neighborhood but the lights and noise from the equipment would be unsettling to us residents. And a "lone tree" in the middle of a field? Really?

Thank you for reading our concerns.

**Nicole Powell**

639 Hailey Glenn View  
Colorado Springs, CO 80916  
(719) 313-9497

On Thursday, October 4, 2018, 5:23:54 PM MDT, Teixeira, Rachel <RTeixeira@springsgov.com> wrote:

I have attached the revised site plans, project statement and color photo simulations. Please provide your comments on these revisions provided by the applicant by Thursday, October 11, 2018 by 5:00pm.

Thank you,

Rachel Teixeira

## Teixeira, Rachel

---

**From:** Mary.Sairls@wellsfargo.com  
**Sent:** Tuesday, October 9, 2018 11:17 AM  
**To:** Teixeira, Rachel  
**Subject:** 595 Airport Creek Point-DN01471G-CPC CM1 18-00100

**CAUTION! - External Email. Malware is most commonly spread through unknown email attachments and links. DO NOT open attachments or click links from unknown senders or unexpected email!**

Dear Rachel Teixeira,

My name is Mary Sairls and I live at 675 Hailey Glenn View Drive in Colorado Springs in the Stonecrest Subdivision. I just heard of the proposed 50 foot cell tower that will be put in my back yard, if it passes. I cannot believe that for how much land there is on the north side of the creek bed that there is not a better place to install this hideous looking tower. That large of a tower should not be that close to family residences. There are commercial properties on the north side closer to the hotel and McDonalds. You have to realize that there are children whose homes are in these townhouses and they should not be playing around that monstrosity. Please reconsider where you are placing this tower. I appreciate you doing what you can to move this away from our homes and closer to commercial properties.

Thank you!

Mary Sairls  
675 Hailey Glenn View  
Colorado Springs, CO 80916  
517-204-1286  
beachsairls@gmail.com

## Teixeira, Rachel

---

**From:** Lawrence Stalla <stalla5@earthlink.net>  
**Sent:** Thursday, October 11, 2018 3:11 PM  
**To:** Teixeira, Rachel  
**Subject:** RE: 595 Airport Creek Point - DN0147G (CPC CM1 18-00100)

**CAUTION! - External Email. Malware is most commonly spread through unknown email attachments and links. DO NOT open attachments or click links from unknown senders or unexpected email!**

Ms. Teixeira:

I am the owner of the single-family residence at 671 Hailey Glenn View (tax schedule #64241-02-24) who has reviewed the revised site plans, project statement, and color photo simulations for the Powder River Development Services, LLC proposed cellular tower project no. DN0147G at 595 Airport Creek Point.

I am deeply troubled that the 50 foot height of the proposed tower exceeds the 45 foot maximum structure height for Commercial Zoning Districts of 2+ acres shown in Table 5-5 of the May 2016 revision of Chapter 5 "Use and Dimensional Standards" of the El Paso County Land Development Code. Also, these revised site plans leave me unsatisfied that the 25 foot setback "from the perimeter boundary of the district" required by Table 5-5 will be complied with, relative to the East Sand Creek drainage easement.

Thank you for your attention to my comments and concerns.

Regards,  
Lawrence Stalla, P.E.  
owner, 671 Hailey Glenn View  
stalla5@earthlink.net

---

**From:** Teixeira, Rachel <RTeixeira@springsgov.com>  
**Sent:** Thursday, October 4, 2018 5:24 PM  
**To:** Joey Mc Gregor <paljoeym@gmail.com>; Nicole Powell <nicolephotography@yahoo.com>; christine.caldwell@comcast.net  
**Subject:** 595 Airport Creek Point - DN0147G (CPC CM1 18-00100)

I have attached the revised site plans, project statement and color photo simulations. Please provide your comments on these revisions provided by the applicant by Thursday, October 11, 2018 by 5:00pm.

Thank you,

Rachel Teixeira