

Teixeira, Rachel

From: Sunderlin, Katie
Sent: Wednesday, August 8, 2018 9:30 AM
To: Gary Bradley; Teixeira, Rachel
Cc: 'Diane Hampson'
Subject: RE: CPC CM1 18-00105
Attachments: CPC CM1 18-00105 Drawings.pdf; CPC CM1 18-00105 Application.pdf

Hi Gary,

Thank you for your questions. I have attached the drawings and application for this project to this email; within the drawing package there are images that depict what the tower is proposed to look like. Additionally, within the application on page 5 there is a description of what the applicant is proposing; the tower is located on CSU property.

Both of these documents are available at www.coloradosprings.gov/LDRS and searchable with the file number CPC CM1 18-00105 (make sure to type it in exactly as shown on the postcard with no extra spaces).

If you have questions beyond what is available within the application or drawings, Rachel Teixeira the reviewing planner, is copied on this email.

Have a good day,

Katie Sunderlin, Architect

LEED AP BD+C

Neighborhood Development Outreach Specialist

Neighborhood Services

719-385-5773

sunderka@springsgov.com



From: Gary Bradley [<mailto:garybradley@qwestoffice.net>]
Sent: Tuesday, August 07, 2018 4:32 PM
To: Sunderlin, Katie <sunderka@springsgov.com>
Cc: 'Diane Hampson' <diane@bsrcommunities.com>
Subject: CPC CM1 18-00105

CAUTION! - External Email. Malware is most commonly spread through unknown email attachments and links. DO NOT open attachments or click links from unknown senders or unexpected email!

Thank you for your notice on the above referenced to Kissing Camels... I am a resident. Is this a 100 'cell tower? What will the appearance be to the community? Will this monopine cell tower be skillfully-disguised to make it blend into the surrounding landscape or landscape? Will it be anesthetically pleasing? The location appears to be on CSU land... please confirm. Thank you.

Gary L. Bradley
3760 Camels View
Colorado Springs, CO 80904

719 338 0170 CELL

Teixeira, Rachel

From: Hayes Veeneman <hhvehvcmv@gmail.com>
Sent: Sunday, August 19, 2018 2:13 PM
To: Teixeira, Rachel
Subject: CPC CM1 18-00105

CAUTION! - External Email. Malware is most commonly spread through unknown email attachments and links. DO NOT open attachments or click links from unknown senders or unexpected email!

Comment on 100' monotone cell tower.

I would have to say, isn't there a better place for a 100' cell tower in the area? This will be an eye sore even if it is disguised as an ugly pine tree. Please consider some other location for this cell tower.

Hayes Veeneman
1094 Fontmore Road
Unit D
Colorado Springs, CO
hhvehvcmv@gmail.com

Teixeira, Rachel

From: jim hadley <jim.hads@gmail.com>
Sent: Friday, August 10, 2018 1:07 PM
To: Teixeira, Rachel
Subject: Monopine Tower/File #: CPC CM1 18-00105

CAUTION! - External Email. Malware is most commonly spread through unknown email attachments and links. DO NOT open attachments or click links from unknown senders or unexpected email!

Hi Rachel: We received a mailer for the monopine cellular tower on Mesa Rd. at Fillmore and I'd like to respond so my comments are known. I was on the website looking at the plans and didn't see a place to respond directly on that page so I'm writing to your email address provided on the mailer. I hope this is okay and that you'll forward it to the appropriate group/team so others can review it, as well.

I'm opposed to the monopine tower, or any additional "hi-rise" types of structures, towers or buildings on the Mesa. Having grown up on the Mesa near the water tower, and I still live in the same neighborhood, I know how special the Mesa is...and of how it's been developed over the past five decades. Much of the development that's been allowed hasn't been very good or well thought out, in my opinion. Specifically, the recently passed monstrosity of a high-rise hospital complex near Centennial and Fillmore. That will be the biggest new eyesore this city will have....and it reminds me of the "scar on the mountain" from the front range mining allowed by the City there 70-80 years ago. That's still a "beauty" of a landmark the City allowed to happen. I believe that with the unneeded hospital being allowed, and should this monopine tower be allowed, it will further open the floodgates of irresponsible development on the Mesa.

But back to this monopine tower: Those "tree towers" look ridiculous in my opinion, and the height of this one makes it look even worse. These towers are immediately recognizable for what they are....although they're better than a standard, old-fashioned tower. If this tower is supposed to help with better service for those who live on the Mesa nearby, well, I've talked with my family and neighbors about their cell service and I'm not hearing any complaints. So this ugly tower will likely be built for those who live nowhere near it and who won't see it each time they look out their windows like so many people on the Mesa nearby it will. If ATT, T-Mobile and the third cellular provider to-be-named insist they need the tower, than put the receptors onto the side of the existing water tower....but no higher in height than the water tower is now.

City officials won't just be letting down nearby residents, they'll be letting down our fellow city residents and the tourists who drive to the Mesa for the fantastic vistas it provides. The Mesa has been poorly developed enough recently, so please let those who are going to make this decision know this isn't needed, except by ATT and T-Mobile. This would be a misuse of one of our city's most treasured areas.

Thank you,
Jim Hadley

Teixeira, Rachel

From: joyce shelton <jolysh@yahoo.com>
Sent: Tuesday, August 21, 2018 8:58 AM
To: Teixeira, Rachel
Subject: CPC CM1 18-00105

CAUTION! - External Email. Malware is most commonly spread through unknown email attachments and links. DO NOT open attachments or click links from unknown senders or unexpected email!

The multi billion dollar companies wanting to put a 100 foot cell tower across the street from my house need to provide a list of other possible locations for their project. I am sure they do not understand that Mesa Road is special and prime land. They probably can not relate to a 100 foot cell tower being built across the street from their residence.

I am sure my thoughts will have no impact on your plans however, I ask that the tower be no taller than the water tower on the same general site.

I ask that the selected site be along Fillmore where the business locations such as King's, VA, Health Resort, etc. are located. Your tower would blend in with all of the other eye sore business are located.

Joyce Shelton

Teixeira, Rachel

From: MARK <mlve@att.net>
Sent: Thursday, August 9, 2018 3:58 PM
To: Teixeira, Rachel
Subject: RE: 2715 Mesa Road Project

CAUTION! - External Email. Malware is most commonly spread through unknown email attachments and links. DO NOT open attachments or click links from unknown senders or unexpected email!

Thank you Rachel, Please keep me informed of the progress. I have no objection to the development in its currently planned location.

Mark von Engeln
5 E Belleview Drive
Greenwood Village CO 80121
303-781-2608 (Office)
303-783-0409 (Fax)
303-888-0863 (Cell)
WWW.ASSETRA.COM
MLVE@ATT.NET

 **Asset Realty Advisors, Inc.**
Real Estate Services

From: Teixeira, Rachel <RTeixeira@springsgov.com>
Sent: Thursday, August 09, 2018 3:43 PM
To: mlve@att.net
Subject: 2715 Mesa Road Project

I have attached the set of plans submitted by the applicant, and plans highlight to reflect the location of the cellular tower.

Teixeira, Rachel

From: Terry Van Sant <GenOffice@vsgroup.bz>
Sent: Monday, August 13, 2018 10:39 AM
To: Teixeira, Rachel
Subject: Development Proposal- 2715 Mesa Road- Mesa Fillmore Monopine

CAUTION! - External Email. Malware is most commonly spread through unknown email attachments and links. DO NOT open attachments or click links from unknown senders or unexpected email!

Rachel,

I am in support of the proposed cellular tower on CS Utilities property, but offer the following comments related to the design:

1. In lieu of the attempt to "hide" the appurtenances of the tower the monopine, I would prefer to plant real trees and dress up the corner of Mesa Rd. and Fillmore St. by planting real trees and landscape which would help soften the edge that exists.
2. I would recommend painting the cell tower and associated elements to match the existing water tank.
3. Natural landscape is better appreciated by those of us who are neighbors and utilize the Mesa Palmer Trail.

Let me know if you have any questions or comments.

Terry Van Sant
Neighborhood resident In Camelback Village

Teixeira, Rachel

From: Zach McComsey <zmccomsey@gmail.com>
Sent: Monday, August 13, 2018 11:12 AM
To: Teixeira, Rachel
Subject: File Number CPC CM1 18-00105 Comment

CAUTION! - External Email. Malware is most commonly spread through unknown email attachments and links. DO NOT open attachments or click links from unknown senders or unexpected email!

Hi Rachel,

My wife Nikki and I are homeowners near the proposed 100 feet cell tower next to Garden of the Gods and Kissing Camels and we strongly object to the concept. Our neighborhood and many of the surrounding neighborhoods near Garden of the Gods are known for world class natural beauty. And a cell phone tower the size of a skyscraper is not at all a fit in this area.

Sincerely,
Zach and Nikki McComsey
1975 Sunnybrook Circle
Colorado Springs, CO 80904