Old 168 Engine Public Meeting Summary - October 27, 2014

of citizen attendees: 10

From the group discussion:

Like about the proposal—

- 1. Addresses current net liability to City >> Best proposal financially for the City and railroad reputation
- 2. Uniqueness of frame >> visual look prior to current Cumbres & Toltec running
- 3. Connecting to next generation
- 4. Brings back to condition of restoration or when "born" >> or even better
- 5. Improved marketing for railroad
- 6. Would bring more attention
- 7. Ensures the viability of the artifact (stewardship)

Concerns-

- 1. Items outlined in presentation
 - a. Could it come back to visit >>City celebration
 - b. Time; lease; return
 - c. How to not lose emotional link
- 2. Proper name of engine >> tie to City or Palmer?
 - a. Any issue w/ National Register
- 3. Consider history of years in the park
- 4. Consider active education program
 - a. Live "demos" in Colorado Springs
 - b. Sister Cities
 - c. Annual event
 - d. Memorial at Antlers site
- 5. Losing piece of history
- 6. People would lose ability to see it

Alleviate concerns—

- 1. Likes the idea of stewardship of area going forward>>interpretation, depot, railroad history of community
- 2. Any possible tie to C4C>> "ripple effect" >> create momentum
- 3. Clean up of park site due to increased awareness/dialogue
- 4. Replace 168 w/ another car or streetcar, caboose (Dave L.)

From comment cards:

- 1. "Keeping the Colorado Springs Connection Alive and Active"—Glenn Butcher, Sherry Butcher

KEEPING THE COLO	RADO	3PRINGS	COMMEC	METR	ALTUE	
		G UF	GUETH BUTCH		FR	
CITY OF COLORADO SPRINGS RIS, RICRATION A CULTURAL SERVICES		9146	Izicy	0.0	168 Engine olic Meeting	
OMMENTS:) F1					
	oposal	anin	Vin for	Loth		
The	inks	- U				

Public Meeting