

Would you like to comment on the proposed criteria for the design and size of the carports?

No further comment. The proposed criteria already addressed my concerns.

Just another structure to detract from a homes curb appeal. The city already doesn't follow up on inoperable vehicles visible in people yards. Clean out the garage and you can fit your vehicles in there.

No comments

Allow partial sides that allow visibility from exiting vehicles. Hail isn't always vertically downwards.

I support.

I would like them to be only 10 feet wide, not 20.

No comment.

Stay with the current laws, NO FRONT YARD CARPORTS

I see no reason why screens or panels should be disallowed. I also don't support restricting the material, as long as it is rigid and durable. If there is some reason to not allow galvanized metal, this reasoning should be communicated. Arbitrary or frivolous restrictions may limit affordable options.

As long as the regulations are going to be enforced, I think this is a great idea. Since the city continues to see more harsh weather regularly yearly now, it would be great to allow residents the extra addition of a front carport to protect their vehicle's.

20 feet wide???? -- a car is not 20 feet wide. how many cars do they need to fit--put it in their garage- Allow only a one-car car port-- if not, the whole from yard with be a car port. ug;e.

This proposal will diminish property values and erode what is normal looking. Residential neighborhoods and turn them into rural looking farm lots. Sheet metal is a very poor choice as it will just get damaged from the hail. These structure needs to look like the home not some random Costco store bought kit. Setting the building standard for these will be very difficult such that it wont negatively impact home appearances. The City before it considers carports has to do something with the parking rules and get the extra cars off the street that can be parked in the garage. We have people living in their garages or they are stuffed full of junk and clutter and not used for parking. Before allowing carports owners should be required to use their garages for parking. The City does not inspect housing areas well at all or enforce building ordinances well thus the burden to control new carports will be futile and the neighborhoods will suffers with ramshackle home made car ports.

Why the restrictions on the sides and paint? Side screens prevent blowing hail from damaging cars.

I do not believe that this rule is necessary. We should be able to have sides if desired front yard carport may have one enclosed side only if the enclosed side is a shared wall with a principal or accessory structure

The car ports should be large enough to fit 2 cars side-by-side. 18-24 feet appears standard in purchasing options, so I would prefer the width to be 24 feet wide.

It should not reach the sidewalk or interrupt the visibility to the road and sidewalk from the adjacent house or edification

If a property is not already designed to incorporate a carport, standards would be hard to enforce and would crowd the smaller lots to look like multi family units versus single family neighborhoods.

The width is a hair small. I propose 21 or 22 feet in width to provide adequate space to open and close car doors, and provide a small additional distance of protection from hail.

I would be really upset if neighbors built one of these carports nexr to my property (or in my neighborhood). They are not attractive to put it mildly! People have purchased their homes where these types of structures are not allowed and now the city wants to allow these ugly structures. Would Mr. Mayor or any city council like one next to their homes?

Looks Great!

Recommend adding that the carport cannot exceed the height of the residential property it belongs to. This will help prevent issues with neighbors' views. Also recommend adding that the carport cannot cover unimproved (non-paved) areas of a home so as to try to make extra parking on grass or other unimproved areas (where parking didn't exist before). Also recommend having the same stand-off distance from the sidewalk (is it 10 ft?) for carports as for RVs. Also recommend adding a requirement that the color of the carport match or complement the current color of the home to which it is attached.

how close to the property line are they allowed to be?, are more than one allowed on a single family lot?

Carports with heavy duty canvass often work very well (ala DIA), I believe this to be an unnecessary restriction. Wording might be revised to indicate a "finished" product.

It doesn't matter what size and design requirements. Carports looks trashy and will reduce the value of the neighborhoods they are in.

I see no reason for a size constraint as long as a structure is built to code and adheres to all applicable setbacks.

What gives you the authority to dictate how I protect my personal property? Then to allow an HOA to supersede the City's ruling on allowing carports is absolutely ridiculous, what's the point?

your design seems to be ok.

Criteria are good

I think the materials needs to be relooked. Why is galvanized metal not allowed? Plastic/synthetic materials are used for housing and decks, why not carports?

Please do not allow these eye sores in single family home neighborhoods.

Building materials are too restricted. Wood prices are very high. Tent options should be allowed.

Having midway down panels is needed for hail coverage.

The size of the carport should not exceed the size of the as built and permitted parking area limited by the current 45% driveway coverage limit that is already in code. The structure and wind rating of the cover needs to be specified so there don't become airborne projectiles. Carport kits and any designs must be "factory certified" to snow and wind loading. This must be put in the code. We simply cant have uncertified "home built" carport as these pose a hazard to neighboring properties and vehicles wear they rip out and blow over. These need to be PPRBD inspected when installed and permit pulled.

we should be able to put whatever the fuck we want on OUR PROPERTY...

This seems reasonable

This ridiculous. Only requirements should be: 2 foot set back from edge of sidewalk closest to house. Only cars, motorcycles, or other street vehicles under carport. Anchored from blowing away in high wind. That's it!

The material limit limits innovation, and the size limit is both narrow and short for many older homes in the area.

Should require a permit to be pulled, and anchoring needs to be inspected

As long as you can see through the carport, it does not block a sidewalk/street and it looks nice it should be allowed. If you pay property tax then you should have some freedom in having a carport.

Citizens of Colorado Springs should be able to do as they wish on their own property. Many of the carports are built for insurance purposes. As long as the structure is safe and within the property line there should be no restrictions on size or location.

I support the proposed criteria.

NO

Carports should not be allowed. People just need to clean out their garage and park the car in the garage problem solved.

With the frequency of hail In this town let the people have covered parking if they want it

Good design criteria

Any rules about the distance from the sidewalk that's just ridiculous

They are ugly

A front yard carport should be constructed of the same type of materials as the home and be of similar design so as to be consistent with home design and surrounding homes.

I agree with the size and design elements.

Due to COS being prone to blowing snow as well as hail, you might consider allowing walls or half-walls on the side, if **tastefully** done. The cheap galvanized steel or plastic type covers are an eyesore but a well-built permanent structure doesn't look bad at all and you should allow it.

I agree with the size and design elements. I think this would be beneficial for homeowners to protect their cars and motorcycles if they must leave them outside in inclement weather.

They are ugly and an eye sore. I support the ban.

Add design restrictions around rooflines Encourage / mandate design standards to accommodate green roofs on these structures to improve heat island effect Encourage landscaping buffers to shield sides of carport from view of street.

Who cares. Let people put up carports. The hail here is insane. Fix the damn roads, and stop this silly stuff about carports.

Recommend the allowance of side panels to old code of no lower than 60 inches above grade.

N/A

Would sides which do not extend to closer than X feet to the ground be allowed to protect from hail not falling straight down? Where X could be 4, 5 or yet to be determined.

The requirements are reasonable; I would like the carport be required to be set back at least 6 feet from the street.

I think the government should not attempt to create or modify new city ordinances when they will not enforce the ones already on the books. If the city administration will continue to allow their family members to violate condemned property status and allow them to live on a camper trailer in their driveway while they do nothing adequately to bring the once burnt, crumbling foundation, and broken trusses in their roof's house back within code. All the while they continue to live on a property that the city itself has condemned yet there is no follow-thru from the city and this specific individual knows that he will win against the city in the long run because they simply don't care.

I am a homeowner who does not own a car port. But I support the use of carports in Colorado Springs. The ports should not be restricted to the side of the house. The house/lot configuration won't always provide enough room for a port to be installed on the side of the house. I support allowing ports to be installed in front of a house if necessary. Hail is a big concern in this area. Residents should be allowed to protect their property. I guarantee you, the carport opponents own two- car garages. Many people cannot afford a residence with a two-car garage. The wealthier residents should not be dictating this issue.

No
sounds reasonable

These are eye sores and it is difficult enough to keep neighborhoods looking nice and in compliance with the existing codes. They diminish the surrounding property values. They are NOT permanent structure and after a few years they really begin to degrade. I don't want the first thing I see when I walk out the front door is my adjacent neighbor's carport.

Carports should not be allowed if their garage is full of crap.

As long as the structures are made of sturdy material, they seem like a cost effective method for covering and protecting a driveway.

20 ft length is not long enough. It should be increased to at least 24 ft.

Side panels are not an issue so long as they do not obstruct view while reversing.

I think there should be a requirement that carports that fall into disrepair or no longer meet the criteria have to be removed within 30days notice to the homeowner. Many people put up some nice ones then 5 years later it is trashy and it stays like this for years.

20 ft from street

On top of all these regulations, each proposed carport should be handled independently. When getting permitted, the inspector needs to ensure that it won't be blocking anyone's view from their property. I would be furious if my view was reduced from inside my house because a neighbor gets a huge carport put in front of their house. Also, it is very important to be able to see down a street. Carports block a lot of visibility making it dangerous in busy neighborhoods. I also do not believe that anyone with a 2 car garage or more should be allowed to get one. Garages are for cars, not for storing extra items.

Carports should be allowed to have paneling around top 2 feet of upper side walls. The material should join with roof. This concept adds strength, durability, and extra protection from weather events such as hail.

As long as the carport is on the private land of the property owner and does not encroach on any other person's private property (without their consent) nor public land, the city should have no concern with size/dimensions/material.

This sounds reasonable.

It personally feel we should be allowed car ports. This state has really bad hail storms and not all of us have two car garage. However if you have one it should be up to codes and not an eye sore.

Carports should not be allowed in Colorado Springs!!!

Allowing any structures within the front yard setback is detrimental to property values and appearance of the neighborhood. Undermining residential standards is not desirable. Where this type of situation regularly occurs is in mobile home parks. It creates a disorganized and appearance of being very over-built for the given land area. Open structure features produce conditions of higher wind uplift loads than enclosed structures. If these are to be allowed assurance of proper structure and anchorage, based on design wind and snow loads should occur. Some generic rule-of-thumb or anchor kit, without proper design, has an unknown and generally higher risk of failure.

A couple of years ago, we had to total 4 vehicles due to the hail in the Stratmoor Valley area. It was devastating. Hail does not always come straight down, so I would like the criteria to at least allow a partial side panel to protect our vehicles and still allow a visible area.

Whatever the city council decides, I will comply with. We have a 2 car garage and 3 cars. We NEED a carport. I just hope and pray our HOA will allow them. Is there anything that can be done about HOA's having to comply with the local regs?

I agree with this, however what does this mean for carports that may be placed in a back yard?

24'x24' is more reasonable.

Donit

Protecting vehicles is important.

We don't need government to give us permission to do anything.

Seems mostly reasonable to me. I don't understand why galvanized metal is prohibited, since it is treated to prevent rust, but otherwise it looks good.

No, I like it the way written. There are some nasty looking carports in my neighborhood that should be torn down big ugly eyesores.

In my culdask, all this will do is give the unlicensed businesses the city is doing nothing about, an easy place to do even more unlicensed business out of, and park more cars than are already illegally in the driveways and yards and over the sidewalks and more the city is doing nothing about, even when i call or write code enforcement, nothing is done. carports will make it more easy to do these unlicensed and illegal businesses with no taxes to the city for them. but whatever, you have'nt listened to us in years. so what does my tax paying opinion matter?

Car ports should not be allowed to go all the way to the sidewalk or in some cases even hang over it..Should be at least 5' back..In some cases the 20' rule would put them at the sidewalk...The front support posts are now at the edge of sidewalk..

Anything to allow protection of a car or other item as it sites in a driveway should be approved. Kind of a no brainer..

Yes I feel they should be able to scale up and width if there is a three car driveway wider than 20 feet. I also would hope that the homeowners association's would not be able to overrule the city ordinance.

Should allow side screens

Residents must be able to protect their vehicles, as long as the carport is safely anchored.

Why would you not allow them to have sides as long as they are installed properly?

Should go to 21' or 22'. If 2 trucks or large SUVs are side by side, there is not much room to open doors since there are often posts along sides.

No

Carports in front of a two car garage should be allowed to be two cars widths wide.

I do not think there should be restrictions on the finish of the cladding, but I agree with the material and durability restrictions.

20 feet in length does not properly accommodate relatively the large end of relatively common pickup trucks: e.g. Ford F350 runs 231.8 to 266.2 inches. Such large vehicles are extremely expensive and often tied to a resident's livelihood, or life style. Otherwise, the 20 foot length is sufficient for all other vehicle types. 20 feet in width is sufficiently large, even for 2 large pickup trucks with 3 feet of space between and 6 inches on each side (F350 can be 96 inches wide). No side panels or screens with only one enclosed side makes sense. This is a shelter of an asset from the worst of the weather: rain, hail, falling debris, heavy snow, and ice. In Colorado Springs hail is the most common and likely causes the most damage of the listed items. Minimizing car related hail damage will slow down the climbing car insurance rates for all residents. Limiting the materials also maintains the protection purpose.

This is a very bad idea for our neighborhoods. Most of them already have RV's, campers, cars parked everywhere on the streets and properties. If you live on a curve or hill these hazards alone make it hard to manuever around. If most of the people didn't have their garages stuffed with personal items they would have room for their cars. We have had a carport put up several streets away from us and all it does is become a catch all for junk that won't fit in the garage. I do not want my view of the mountains blocked by something like this. They are unattractive to say the least and a real detriment to home sales. Not sure what you're thinking proposing this. Most homes have at least 1 garage bay and some many more. This is a really bad idea.

There are shrubs and fences in the city that effect sight distance worse than these car ports. These carports are not permanent structures, they are free standing and anchored. Their criteria above seem to have nothing to do with the reasons that the city was getting rid of people's carports to begin with it seemed to me that they were set back issues in those are very confusing.

Sounds good.

This adversely affects lower income residents that may not be able to afford or have use of a traditional garage.

I don't feel the 20 by 20ft criteria is enough room to put a carport on a double car driveway. I feel the homeowner should be able to put the size of carport that fits to their driveway dimensions leaving approximately one foot away from the sidewalk and leaving 5 ft visibility on the sides for passing cars and pedestrians.

The size of the carport should be that of which matches that of the driveway; if the width of the driveway is 24 ft, the carport should also be 24 ft. The length should be the maximum space allowable after accounting for any set back, ie 15 ft from the street.

carports should be held to the same standards (e.g. setbacks) as any other structure. there is no reason to allow carports in the front yard setback

I don't necessarily agree with the "shall not have side panels" edict. I think having side panels covering at least 25% of the side height is necessary in some circumstances considering the fact that oftentimes hail, hard rain, snow & debris can Sometimes come in at an angle & strike your vehicle.

Please consider one side panel, especially adjacent to an adjoining neighbor's driveway. Many carports are framed in tubular steel, often grey in nature but the roofs are typically painted. A 2-foot panel running the length of the structure at the top of the side and end wall adds protection from hail. can There are structures that have an arched roof made of a taught canvas like material that is very attractive and not offensive so they should be considered as part of the permit submission. There is a great difference between a designed structure and a Harbor Freight \$4.00 blue tarp stretched between chain-link top bars used as poles. Will the structure be allowed to cover the entire driveway?

No problem with the proposed design description. Just not in OUR front yards!

Situation is comparable to issue of some years ago RE recreational vehicles. Research at the time showed that the average RV was parked 11months of the year and therefore should be treated and zoned as an accessory dwelling unit. Given the increase in size of today's cars and trucks the situation is similar. Would like to see Planning consider the value of our neighborhood streetscapes to community character and value and NOT allow carports nor solid fences in front yard setbacks.

20 feet wide sounds like it would hold 2 cars. If the property has a single car garage, then it only needs a single carport.

The proposed carport design criteria are reasonable, easy to understand, and simple to comply with.

I do not think detached carports should be allowed in front yards as they do not look nice and detract from home values. The city should encourage the building of permanent garages over these aesthetically unpleasing items. Also carports, no matter how well tied down could blow away in a storm and cause damage to neighboring properties.

The concern is that we are in an older neighborhood with a history. Putting these structures up will diminish the appearance, history and values of these properties. The design would be decidedly modern and I can see how it would be exploited

Carports are tacky! They bring down the value of the homes. There are no good looking carports. Do not pass this to allow for carport.

Think it's good for people to be able to protect their cars

Too vague

If a homeowner is willing to spend their own money to insure the safety of his or her own property, they should have the right to. We have all seen the damage that has been caused from hail or mother nature events . It has caused almost all insurance companies to raise their rates, which hurts all of us in the pocket book. The city needs to step aside on this matter. Yes, we will have those few that take advantage of it, but the majority will have no problems abiding by the guidelines.

I think it is fine

Carports should be allowed on the side setbacks were many driveways are located on the 10ft. side setback (between the side of the house and the property line/fence). By placing the carport on the side of the home (over the driveway)as apposed to in front of the home, the view of the street, neighborhood and home would be less disturbed. Carports built over driveways would have at least 3 open sides, allowing access to the garage behind the home that is difficult to maneuver in and out from.

I think you are allowing every square inch of property to be built on. This to me is an eyesore. I do not think this is a good idea. You are ruining this city, with all the squeezed in buildings.

my only comment would be as long as they would not be blocking the view of neighbors.

12' would be better.. 20 goes to the side walk.

There should not be any allowed carports in the front yard.

I see no issues with this

The limit to length seems strange since some vehicles can be longer than 20ft and may need to connect to a home for reasonable drainage but the width is reasonable for a maximum of two cars side by side.

Regarding the not-allowed materials, what if these materials mimic or are complimentary to the principal structure?

Screen sides should be allowed

The width restriction is a problem. Should be at least 25 feet, or match the width of an existing garage. Design elements should also be restricted to match the structure of the home, so that people aren't just plopping those ugly aluminum pre-fab carports in the front yard, and lowering the collective property values in the process.

I support the proposed criteria for carports in our residential zones.

this makes sense. The open sides are important, and allow visibility, so, say, someone backing out a car from a nearby driveway would be able to see through a neighbor's carport to see kids on sidewalks, cars approaching in the street, etc.

Even though the proposal bans "non-durable and flexible materials" from use in carports, the allowed carports will still be flimsy and unsightly relative to current requirements for garages. Our neighbor had multiple corrugated steel panels blow off of his backyard carport in a recent storm, damaging his fence. If it had been in his front yard, that damage could well have been to others' property.

It would be nice to allow more temporary style architectures to facilitate a seasonal approach as long as they are sufficiently anchored. Tent-style or other non-durable materials could be allowed during summer months as long as they are taken down by a specified date. Should be able to provide at least some side panels for structural integrity and privacy.

Unless it is a property with a larger lot, there wouldn't be enough space for a carport

In my case, I have a 12' x 6' L-shaped wall where my driveway meets the house that would form the "front" of my carport. I think this needs to be accepted.

This seems about right, particularly the requirement the sides should be open except for one side shared with another structure.

They should not be allowed

This is an example of a disconnected government that does not represent the interest of the people. Placing restrictions either through size, wall structure, or building material is still going to far. As a private homeowner attempting to protect property from Colorado weather events having to juggle restrictive bureaucracy is not the answer. Placing requirements back to Regional Building allows for future restrictions that are not defined and not open to comment. I move to strike any requirements not expressly defined. I move to remove any restrictions to side walls or screens, or limitations to “share” a common wall. Further some home owners may elect to temporarily enclose their carports, and the use of a flexible canvas material provides an inexpensive method of doing. Simply remove the restriction to house a structure in the front lot or perhaps this verbiage should be opened to the public for a vote and remove entirely.

I don't think this is a good idea. sounds like an opportunity for neighborhoods to look trashy

I think all of these specifications are ridiculous! Let people decide for themselves how their carport should function/exist.

The homes with 2 or 3 car garages park in the driveway because they use the garage as storage units. 80922

Too restrictive for goal of protecting the sides of vehicles in severe hail storms. Will have little impact on lowering insurance rates for the average citizen. Results in another burden for AVERAGE family to carry the load for what is becoming an elitist area.

Allow people to put up anything they want, as long as it's safe.

Some carports are 21 feet. Could that be made permissable? And no metal roofs????

I glad to see that the plastic type material won't be allowed.

This is too restrictive. If properties can have hedges, fences and other landscaping that can obstruct the view, then this is just silly. If there is an HOA, they can impose further restrictions, however for those without an HOA, it is silly to have so many restrictions. Property tax went up 30+%, and insurance rates our obnoxiously high. Car ports are one of the few ways someone can afford a home and protect their vehicle from our crazy hail storms. These restrictions disproportionately target blue collar, lower income areas, especially when cost of living is out of control here but wages are low. We bring in Amazon, retail and fast food places which are lower paying jobs and wonder why we are in a housing crisis. Our taxes are almost as high as coastal cities and this is another way to force middle to low income residents out to make way for gentrification.

I don't see why we have to paint them they look better as they are

This part is key: "A front yard carport may not be clad in unpainted or galvanized metal or made of non-durable and/or flexible materials such as plastic, canvas, polyester or other tent-like materials."

The carports are a non-conforming use of property. They are not part of the original design and construction of the residence. Unlike an addition to a house, which is generally similar to the house in terms of construction materials and the like, the carports are unlike anything used to build the existing structure. The fact that owners cannot get their vehicles in their respective garages or non-existent garages is not a reason to permit the carports. They may have utility for their owners but that must be weighed against their unsightly appearance for the rest of the neighborhood.

Permitting carports is a bad idea. One, carports would quickly outdoor storage for individuals who have already filled their garages with junk. This would massive enforcement headache for the city. The correct answer is for folks to clean out their garage so that vehicles can be parked where intended. Two, as junk storage accumulates public safety would be reduced - putting children in particular at risk. Carports reduce visibility for passing traffic, bikes, & pedestrians. They would also pose challenges for firefighters, which could put homes and lives at risk. Three, carports would be eyesores. Homes are designed to be functional and aesthetically pleasing. Neighborhood appearance would be diminished which would be unfair to those who take pride in their property. Four, illegal drug operations are already flooding residential areas. Making it easier for criminals to convert garage space into illegal "grow houses", which would be an obvious and predictable outcome, is not sensible.

Cladding should permit the use of Kevlar or similar high impact resistant flexible material.

Take out the last statement "A front yard carport may not be clad in unpainted or galvanized metal or made of non-durable and/or flexible materials such as plastic, canvas, polyester or other tent-like materials." As this gets into design choices instead of actual structural strength or safety. Especially galvanized metal which is common fencing, roofing, and in some cases siding material. Unpainted metal would include such things as copper roofing. If a home owner wished to use canvas really any material has a limited life span and it is the home owners responsibility to maintain or else they will have a car port that is not functional. Even a non-durable and/or flexible material will cause less damage if blown off then painted metal panels to other property. The objective of a carport is to increase safety for occupants and decrease insurance premiums for the whole city the this last requirement does neither.

I don't understand why so long? Enough to cover one car should be good enough. The ones that I have seen are unsightly. They do not add to the value of the home or those around it.

They should NOT be allowed on houses that already have garages regardless of how many vehicles they have!!

They should be set back at least 1 ft from the public sidewalk.

I agree

Maybe adding something about how it is tied down? I would be concerned the wind could blow it into others' property and damage homes and cars.

If a home has a driveway longer than 20 feet (plus setback), it should be permitted a longer carport.

I approve those elements already in place. Additionally, I believe that a carport should not be allowed for a property that already has a 2-car garage. The homeowner needs to use the garage as what it's designed for. Additionally, I believe the carport needs to include a maximum height limit. There's a house in my neighborhood that has a carport for their bus sized camper such that the carport itself is tall enough to block their 2nd story window on the residence. The carport is unpleasant to the eye and lowers the viewed value of the properties nearby.

Carports should be allowed 3/4 or 1/2 side panels to protect from rain and hail.

I agree with the design elements listed.

Carports need to match the aesthetic of the home and neighborhood. 20x20 seems excessive in size

Carports should be allowed within the Two feet of the property line

Carports should be allowed to protect my cars. My insurance stated they would not insure me if I HAVE ANYMORE HAIL CLAIMS. When I put my carport up I was told I needed no permit and never told about any setback rule. 3 years later I am told to take it down in may letters and contacts. Many virtual meetings and more letters take it down....the middle of winter.....Then one day later hang on til July. This is stupid thing for the city to have tried to go after. How about you try to get people to shovel their sidewalks so its safer, or something that really could help our city as a whole.

I think you will find that 20 feet in length is too short. I own a Eagle carport. The Eagle carports are the Cadillac of carports. The frame for the carport is just a little under 20 feet. However, the decorative flashing at each end of the carport adds an additional 4 inches to each end. I can stay in compliance with proposed 20' length if i want to remove the flashing and make the carport functional but ugly. I believe the industry standard for single carports is 21 feet. I have driven around and observed many carports in the Rustic Hills area and I really believe that the 20' limit will require many (most) of the carports in this area to be out of code and will require extensive modification. My observation is especially true for structures that have been built to protect motor homes. Those structures will not meet code. Please add just a few feet to the approved length for a carport. It will eliminate great anguish and expense for many people.

What's wrong with metal? I have seen very nice carports made of metal and they probably last longer and are good protection.

20x24 or 24x24 are more suitable sizes. The reason my truck is not in a garage now is due to the garage only being 20' deep.

While proposed design guidelines would be good if this ordinance change happens, I am strongly opposed to any carports in front of houses.

That sounds reasonable to me.

I think the whole up idea of these ugly carports is one more step our city council is taking toward allowing our neighborhoods to look trashy. Why don't you first put some effort into enforcement of the new regulations you pass. Please drive by the ADU on Morning Star Drive. Don't pass anything if you can't enforce the rules around it.

N\A

No front yard carports!

2 car garage doesnt need carport. Enforce this new rule if passed Ones in my neighborhood go all the way to sidealk, well over 20' long. One is about 31'long.

I am fortunate enough to have a garage. I think that anybody who needs to put a carport up should be able to see

I really believe carports are a necessity for some, due to weather in Colorado Springs. I have lived here my whole life and never really thought of them as a hindrance. If they are properly maintained and not in disrepair the city should move on to more important things like 7 cars in front of a house and unkept homes

I am not in favor of carports as I think they can destroy the aesthetic of a neighborhood. That being said, if they are to be allowed they should be permitted and approved by the building department. I don't think any semi permanent or flimsy structure should be allowed. Out of the box carports from Costco can pose a safety risk as we get a lot of wind on this side of town.

You might as well not include the one wall if it has to be put next to another wall. That is not a great idea if you want people to maintain the car port. And I am hoping since you want them painted or sealed that they would need access to that wall. Putting a wall against a wall prevents this maintenance.

I believe even homes with two car garages should be allowed car ports. These larger homes are family homes and often teen drivers and adult kids reside in them. This creates more than two vehicles that need protection. We need to ensure that local HOA's can not over rule this decision.

I don't see set backs mentioned. I believe that Car Ports (or good quality and anchoring) should be allowed and if ones end of drive is only 3 feet from the road that should be considered as allowed.

20 is more then enough. my street has them 31' out to sidewalk.

how do you plan on enforcing these new rules. Carport people put them up illegal,so whats new??

Carports should blend into the style of the house and not be an eyesore I agree with not the clad unpainted or made of any flexible materials

What is the reason for prohibiting a side screen if the setback is sufficient to protect motorist's vision? A homeowner could plant a huge bush, well within their property line, that would have the same effect.

my street looked pretty until ugly carports went up. The new rules will make street nice again.

TIME FOR CITY TO ENFORCE RULES NO ONE IS FOLLOWING

Hail does not fall straight down. A few feet of side covering near the top may be necessary to prevent hail from hitting cars at an angle.

Where a carport is placed with one side on a property line. A fence is allowed to be incorporated into the carport along the property line. This fence would be subject to any codes that apply to a normal property line fence in the front that separates two properties

No, please don't approve carports. They are a horrible eyesore and would be a significant degradation to my neighborhood. Thank you!

We installed a Home Depot 9x16 carport, (PC roof, galvanized supports) after four of our cars were totally destroyed by hail in the last three years. It is installed 15 feet from the edge of the street in our half moon driveway. Adjacent neighbors all approved when we applied for a variance after being cited. We surveyed the neighborhood in Cheyenne Canyon and found more than 15 similar carports within a several block radius. We request the ordinance include liberal variance provisions for existing carports so homeowners can avoid the expense of re-location if in technical violation. Moving our carport would entail expense of demolition and reinstallation, and also would obstruct our view and it block access for other cars entering and leaving. Relocating it to the side yard would entail more expense to take down and relocate our cedar fence, remove trees/shrubs and resurface the lawn to accommodate parking.

Please don't let carports happen

Screening or tent like cloth should be allowed as a roof covering to protect from the sun and hail. Add verbiage such as "only carport fabrics are allowed" and all fabric must be in good condition (free of rips, tears, etc...) and adequately secured to prevent separation from the structure. Also allow see-thru covering on at least one side of a carport. This is for wind driven hail protection. Since most storms seem to come on a prevailing side (one side should be more than adequate.

name change.. We use to be all American city..Now illegal ugly carport junkyard.For me they all should come down,but if your going too come up with new rules ,good luck, They arent going totake them down..

Will be watching the news on protests over illegal carports having to come down.. Dont expect them to comply.

I oppose any construction of carports within the front yard setback.