



Sun 1/17/2021 9:18 AM

Ron Johnson <Ron.Johnson@centralbancorp.com>

21 Marland Road Appeal

To: Osborne, Alex

Cc: Lobato, Elena

You forwarded this message on 1/19/2021 9:16 AM.

[Bing Maps](#) [Action Items](#)

[+ Get more apps](#)

CAUTION! - External Email. Malware is most commonly spread through unknown email attachments and links. DO NOT open attachments or click links from unknown senders or unexpected email!

Dear Mr. Osborne:

I write in support of the appeal of the administrative decision approving a nonconforming fence at the above referenced property.

The owner of the subject property constructed both the non-conforming fence and the retaining structure on which it sits.

I am aware of no supporting evidence that the fence needed to be constructed in this manner at this height. In my view, it would have been a very straight forward design and construction process to have made the new fence to the conforming six foot height.

I believe in a homeowner's right to avail themselves of the variance process under the code, in this case I simply believe that insufficient grounds existed to seek and receive such a variance.

I ask that you reverse the administrative decision made with respect to the fence as requested in the pending appeal.

Best regards, RSJ

Ronald S. Johnson
13 Crossland Road
Colorado Springs, CO 80906



Tue 1/19/2021 10:57 AM

Surofchek, David T <David.T.Surofchek@morganstanley.com>

RE: 21 Marland Road Appeal

To: Osborne, Alex

Cc: Lobato, Elena

[Action Items](#)

[+ Get more apps](#)

CAUTION! - External Email. Malware is most commonly spread through unknown email attachments and links. DO NOT open attachments or click links from unknown senders or unexpected email!

Alex,

Thank you for sending Mr. Johnson's email related to my case. In my response I believe I've provided sufficient evidence that my retaining wall and fence is needed at my property. I would ask Mr. Johnson to please review all of the information I've submitted to the City Planning department including my recent videos showing the difficulty we have in entering and exiting my garage based on where the previous driveway was located. I think it's my right as a home owner to be able to use my entire property based on the official survey's I've completed to provide the best possible use of my property, which in this case is to access my garage safely and effectively. I think it's also important to mention the HOA did determine that the retaining wall is necessary to uphold the integrity of my driveway. In terms of the 6 foot picket fence current location it allows us the space we need to enter and exit our garage with our SUV vehicle. It also provides privacy to counter what we believe is an attempt by our neighbors to violate our privacy and our ability to live in our home in a reasonable matter.

Please let me know if you have any questions or concerns.

Regards,
Dave Surofchek