

Public Comment #1

Dear City Council,

I am asking you to please turn down the request for a zoning change at 1240 N. Circle for a Kum n Go. There are already 4 gas stations in the near vicinity. Plus there is another Kum and Go less than 2 miles away!

I am sure we can do better building up our city than having a gas station on every corner.

Thank you for your consideration.

Suzanne Burkle

719-660-6237

---

Public Comment #2

To the Mayor and City Council of Colorado Springs:

I am writing regarding the development proposal for the Kum & Go at N Circle and E. San Miguel St

First, I realize that this proposal is going to go through regardless of public opinion or what the residents near this site say. And I realize that the Kum & Go would be a less objectional commercial option than possible other developments, however, my concern is with preserving the neighborhood, addressing crime, traffic and residential properties nearest this site.

My comments will be specifically for the Mayor and City Council:

Mayor and City Council Members, do we really need to have a Kum & Go every 1.3 miles within the city limits?

There is literally a Kum & Go 1.3 miles southwest and northeast of this proposed site, in addition to existing similar businesses within a 3.5 city block radius. This proposal may and most likely will cause 2 similar businesses to close due to over saturation.

If this proposal is approved by the council; What specifically will be done to address the following concerns:

How is that helping the overall health of neighborhood?

How is that preserving neighborhoods to keep them safe, decent, and respectable?

How will this bringing tech or higher paying jobs that will help grow the economy?

Kum & Go will allow bring some jobs that pay slightly above minimum wage, which really goes not grow the economy that will help sustain and preserve neighborhoods.

How will this not cause homeowners property values to decrease?

Kum & Go will say it will immediately increase commercial property values, but that is of no concern for people who live near this proposed site.

How will this not increase crime?

How and what will the police department do to start preventing crime or addressing current existing crime and the traffic concerns and violators?

Rarely are police patrolling in this area nor do they address complaints that have been brought up.

The 3 distinct times, since I have lived here, that showed police presence was when 2 different murders occurred (after numerous complaints over months made to the police of vehicles congregating on palmer park Blvd. and causing issues) or they are hunting for a criminal who shot at police.

The city does not “do” speed bumps, so what is the city willing to do to address the complaints that already exist regarding traffic on E San Miguel besides allowing Kum & Go to put in a signal and Circle and E San Miguel?

It took someone going 85 mph in a 25 mph zone west on E San Miguel before the traffic department would listen to the citizens that live on this street and subsequently put in a stop sign.

The plain factual truth is this proposed development will only increase the crime and traffic concerns.

So, in conclusion, what will the Mayor and City Council members do to ensure that these concerns are addressed and will continue to be addressed after this proposal is approved?

Thank you,

Tracy Schlotman

Resident on E San Miguel St

---

Public Comment #3